Working through the Racine FY23 CoC Prioritization

1. **RENEWALS**

    1.1. Did any project score below the threshold?

        1.1.1.   If yes, that project will go to the bottom of Tier 2 initially.

    1.2. Projects scoring at or above the threshold will be placed in order of scores on the scoring tool. This is the initial renewal ranking but is not the final prioritization.

2. **NEW PROJECTS**

    2.1. Did any project score below the threshold?

        2.1.1.   If yes, that project will go to the bottom of Tier 2 initially.

        2.1.2.   If there is a renewal project in last place, at this point it will go below the renewal project unless it is an expansion project.

    2.2. An expansion project should be placed just below the project being expanded.

    2.3. Projects scoring at or above the threshold will be placed at the top of Tier 2.

3. **COMBINING RENEWAL and NEW PROJECTS for PRIORITIZATION**

    3.1. PSH projects are ranked at the top of Tier 1. They are ranked in order of original score. For example, there are 3 PSH projects, scoring 1, 4, 6 overall. They are ranked 1 (1), 2 (4), and 3 (6) for prioritization. PSH is ranked above RRH because CH is the highest priority selected by the CoC and all PSH projects serve CH clients. RRH projects may serve CH, but it is not an eligibility criterion for the project.

    3.2. RRH projects are ranked next in Tier 1 using the same method as PSH.

    3.3. CE and HMIS are ranked next in Tier 1. If CE or HMIS becomes a straddle, a new PH project or a renewal PH project may be dropped into the straddle position. The CoC shall attempt to preserve as much funding as possible.

3.4. A PH New project shall be placed in Tier 2 but may be a straddle project. An explanation for prioritizing in Tier 1 rather than Tier 2 shall be included.

3.5. Review any renewal project placed fully in Tier 2 to determine if it should have a higher placement. For example, if there is a renewal project at the bottom of Tier 2 because it does not meet the threshold it may be totally eliminated; or funding reduced or fully funded with conditions. Questions to consider:

    3.5.1. Does the project serve one of the priority populations?

    3.5.2. Is there any other project serving the priority population?

    3.5.3. Will money go unallocated if the project is completely eliminated?

4. REVIEW

4.1. Placement in Tier 1 and Tier 2, including the prioritization selected by the CoC – 1). Chronic, 2) Aging 55+, 3) Families with Children, 4) Domestic Violence, 5) Youth, 6) Veterans, and 7) Gender identity/expression.

4.2. Review the DedicatedPLUS and CH beds criteria. Should the prioritization of any project be changed? Reasons must be stated.

5. FINAL placement.

Review the criteria for making any other changes/adjustments and determine if any project should be re-prioritized. Reasons must be stated and cannot be contrary to the NOFO, Racine CoC standards, or HUD standards.