

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: WI-502 - Racine City & County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Homeless Assistance Leadership Organization, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	No	No	No
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	No	No
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	No

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	No
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	No	No
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	No
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Veteran Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	State of WI Dept of Administration	Yes	No	No

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC successfully developed a DEI workgroup, designed & voted to support a CoC DEI statement, & provided more robust evaluation criteria within our rating & ranking process for funding projects. We have received positive feedback & requests to help partners write DEI statements for their organizations. We supported outside funding requests for a CoC organization seeking financial support for admin costs to recruit underserved communities to provide specific homeownership to low/moderate income underserved people. Funding was awarded. We have refined our CoC funding priorities to include underserved community members; representatives from Black & Brown communities & organizations are participating in leadership positions within our governing body, committees & workgroups. These groups also review our policies, standards, and governance documents with a DEI lens and provide feedback on content. Our Coordinated Entry & Leadership Council voted to adopt a new assessment to replace the VI-SPDAT. The review committee, together w/people w/lived experience & members of underserved Black & Brown communities, identified areas for improvement, addressed concerns & adapted a new document for coordinated entry.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. New member solicitation is an ongoing function of the CoC. Participation is encouraged through our website, outreach emails, and Facebook. Personal invitations are extended when connecting at local, state, and national conferences, presentations, and meetings. We have expanded our membership to include more community advocates, many from healthcare services and organizations serving low/moderate income underrepresented communities. We have also extended our reach beyond city limits for broader community involvement throughout our CoC geographical area.

2. Technology ensures effective communication and access to people with various disabilities. Access through our CoC website and Facebook provides the ability to adjust document sizes and utilize computer browsers to improve readability and have documents read to those with visual or learning disabilities; TTY & sign language are offered for those with hearing impairment. Zoom meetings aid individuals with mobility concerns or who need closed captioning. In-person meetings are held in handicap-accessible buildings and include technology to assist in communication during the meetings to ensure all can participate. Personal connections that reveal specific needs allow us to provide reasonable accommodation. We use Constant Contact to manage regular email messages to our members. We receive numerous requests via our website to be added to that list.

3. Member agencies extend invitations to people with lived experience and staff. Members attending agency meetings also recruit new members for the CoC. Personal invitations are also used to recruit a diverse membership. Leadership or other members of agencies make personal invitations to organizations such as the LGBT Center of SE Wisconsin, the Salvation Army, and the Racine County Aging and Disability Resource Center. Program topics and presenters representing diverse populations speak at CoC meetings. Presentations have provided networking opportunities between providers not previously connected with the CoC or individual CoC agencies.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	

2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Opinions are solicited & considered via email, telephone, workgroups, meetings/forums/focus groups, electronic polls, surveys & social media. We have representation at State of WI agency meetings, local provider agencies, health organizations, landlords & persons with lived experience, including clients residing in PSH & RRH projects & shelters. We have dialogs w/agencies addressing DV & human trafficking and discussions w/State of WI Dept. of Health Services (DHS) regarding disability & mental health services. Locally, we work with the LGBT Center of SE WI, National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI), youth advocates, street outreach & veteran programs. Our participation with WI DHS, WI Dept of Administration (DOA), WI Interagency Council on Homelessness (WICH), & Racine County Public Health Poverty Wrkgrp provides knowledge & resources to create support networks for our CoC partners.

2. We present info & receive feedback on various topics at CoC member meetings. Topics include diversity, equity & inclusion, system performance measures (SPM), prevention of infectious diseases such as flu, COVID, RSV, extreme weather conditions, LGBTQ+ safe training, fair housing & lead poisoning, adults' w/disabilities, Housing First approach. Meetings are advertised on our website & through email. Membership meetings are held on Zoom, taped, & uploaded to the internet for access.

3. Membership info on the CoC website & Facebook allows those w/visual impairments to change the size of documents or utilize accessibility options in computer browsers. Documents are read to persons w/visual impairments or w/learning disabilities; TTY & sign language interpreters are utilized for persons with a hearing impairment. Documents or statements are explained further to persons w/cognitive impairment. Zoom meetings aid individuals with mobility concerns or who need closed captioning. Hybrid meetings include technology to assist in communication during meetings & assure participation. Personal connections may also reveal specific needs. Reasonable accommodation is made based on those needs.

4. Presentations provide additional staff training. For example, the Aging & Disability Resource Center's info provided resources for case managers (CM) addressing clients who hoard. LGBT Safe Training led to workshops on gender identity & inclusive language usage when speaking w/clients & on forms; DHS/CoC forum on Mental Illness (MI) led to training on working w/clients & selfcare for CMs.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:

1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Public announcements via our website & our open CoC meetings beginning in March that HUD CoC funding will be open to new projects/agencies and renewal projects. Deadlines & scoring, and ranking criteria were posted on our website on 8/07/2024. We announce it on Facebook. Those present at the meetings represent service providers, nonprofits, government entities, & nonfunded agencies. Public announcements on the website began in June 2024. Staff from the Collaborative Applicant & CoC Director contact agencies not receiving CoC program funding, encouraging them to submit applications. We host, tape, & post a link on our website for a meeting (September 2024) to explain the application process for renewal and new applicants. Contact information is provided for new agencies for TA needs.

2. Our website provides a timeline with all deadlines and instructions on how to submit applications. The instructions were posted on 8/7/2024. The process is reviewed at an informational meeting, and links to HUD Exchange and eSnaps instructions are provided on our website.

3. We post on our website and email the “Local Racine CoC Rating & Review Procedure FY24.25” and “Working through Racine CoC FY24/25 Prioritization” documents to all prospective applicants.

4. All notifications at meetings are verbal. Most meetings are recorded and posted on the CoC website. Meeting minutes are in writing and sent via email. If a user has a visual impairment, the user can adjust the viewing screen size of the information on the CoC website. Technical assistance is also available if there is a need for assistance or accommodation.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Nonexistent
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.	Services related to working with Human Trafficking Victims & Survivors	Yes

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Racine CoC has an MOU with Acelero Learning Wisconsin, Inc., the Head Start and Early Head Start provider within Racine County. It is currently renewed annually. The MOU specifies a referral and prioritization process for families with a child under the age of 5 (on Sept. 1). Referrals from CoC agencies are prioritized, and these applications receive additional points based on homelessness. The purpose of the MOU is to provide access to Head Start and Early Head Start programs to those children who may fall further behind because they are experiencing homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The general CoC policy is that ESG and CoC-funded agencies must have a policy for any topic requiring one to remain compliant with their CoC or ESG contract or CoC or ESG regulation or rule. At a minimum, a CoC agency must provide to the CoC members the name of the person at their agency who is responsible for McKinney-Vento compliance, the person responsible for notifying families of their rights, and the person responsible for coordinating with the school liaison. The liaison for the largest school district in Racine County is the president of the CoC Leadership Council (Board), guides agencies on McKinney-Vento, and provides materials for families in English and Spanish.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers. NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	
--------	--	--

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program--(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No

8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	No
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	No
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The DV shelter Director participates in the CE Committee, CE Assessment Workgroup, Move-On Assessment Workgroup, and Prevention Assessment Workgroup and co-chairs the HMIS Committee. Together with persons with lived experience, these committees oversee updating CoC-wide policies. Leadership Council (LC) approves CoC-wide policies. Persons with lived experience are represented throughout this process.

2. Our CoC works with our DV Shelter to ensure that housing and services are trauma-informed and meet the needs of survivors through training and the directed use of funding.

TRAINING: Our DV shelter’s programs, including their apartments, subscribe to the philosophies & approaches proscribed by the Office on Violence Against Women; as such, they have been designated as the main organizer and provider of CoC partners’ DV training for DV & non-DV providers’ staff. Staff from the DV shelter provide an annual DV/SA awareness and safety planning training and trauma-informed care training. They also include non-DV provider staff on monthly training from End Abuse Wisconsin & other training resources.
DIRECTED USE OF FUNDS: Our DV shelter directs federal and other funds to ensure the hiring of individuals with expertise in providing housing, trauma-informed services, and programs specifically targeting survivors. It is the only DV shelter within our county.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC’s Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CE policy and procedure standards incorporate safety planning protocols. Coordinated Entry (CE) staff provide CE services to the entire CoC. CE staff have received a briefing on safety protocols from staff employed by the survivor projects. They have also attended other training related to survivors, including trauma-informed care, the cycle of violence, and the intersection of DV & housing. CE staff have also received high-level HMIS policy and procedure training for protecting personally identifiable information & security. An example of a safety protocol is that CE intake and assessment activities occur at the survivor shelter & not at outside locations; CE staff go to where the survivor is located to minimize the chance of an unforeseen encounter with an abuser or trigger situation. While it is the responsibility of the survivor provider staff to assist a participant with safety planning, CE staff are knowledgeable and can answer questions & assist with supplemental safety planning if needed. CE and survivor provider staff work together to plan overall CE protocols for survivors to address safety in all aspects of the CE and re-housing process. CE Staff provide support and referrals for DV service providers and private space to collect information for CE. Households are screened for safety concerns and in the event risk is present, the participant is offered a referral and linked to available specialized services for housing assistance designed to address the particular service needs of the survivor.

2. Confidentiality protocols are incorporated into our CE policy and procedure standards. Staff at all agencies receive confidentiality training. Information is not shared unless there is a Release of Information, and only to the extent necessary for the service being provided. CE staff are employed by the CoC HMIS Lead Agency and have received high-level training regarding the proper use of HMIS data, protection of PII, confidentiality, and security.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		
7.			

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP) is part of our Prevention, Shelter, RRH, & PSH Policies & Procedures sections in our VAWA Participation Safety section.
2. CoC Providers must post the Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP) on their websites & in a prominent location on their premises which include public areas of clustered unit buildings & offices where project staff meet with clients in scattered-site programs. Case managers the ETP with client when reviewing paperwork.
3. Clients decide whether a unit is safe. Clients may request an ETP from their case manager to transfer from a shelter or current unit to another shelter or unit. They may request an external or both an internal & external transfer at the same time. Clients are entitled to written notice of denial of ET requests that include specific grounds for denial, info on their right to grieve the denial, & a copy of the grievance process.
4. Per our ETP, housing providers must make an individualized plan w/in 24hrs to the tenant & provide a written copy. ETs are prioritized above any other households for open units. Agencies participating in CE must accept ETs as units deemed safe become available. If tenant-based, the housing provider will conduct a housing search with family members for 90 days & if not housed, connect to another provider for ongoing assistance. Case management staff help clients obtain documentation.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.	
---	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC utilizes the same Coordinated Entry (CE) assessment and prioritization list for all populations to ensure equal access to housing opportunities in the CoC. CE staff conduct all CE assessments for our survivor population. When a client enters a survivor-specific shelter, the staff at the shelter offer the client the option to schedule an appt with CE staff and be added to the CE prioritization list. By integrating survivors into the CE prioritization list, they are prioritized for and receive the same housing opportunities and services as all other populations. In instances where housing is a survivor-only project, those projects must offer the same services as non-survivor projects. Review of referrals to mainstream benefits and other services are reviewed for compliance as part of the CoC and CE monitoring processes.

Case staffing meetings are held bi-monthly and cover various topics, including barriers clients face when seeking safe housing. The issues range from the person's safety to the safety of the environment and housing. The Housing Navigator conducts the housing inspections for household safety issues. Legal Action of WI works with survivors and case managers (CM) to identify issues related to fair housing barriers and victimization of DV survivors from landlords or property mgrs. and neighborhood conditions. CMs monitor and discuss any patterns emerging that could lead to discrimination or systemic barriers and address issues with Legal Action and clients to eliminate the impact of harm on survivors.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:	
1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and	
2.	working to remove those barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. DV survivors participate in a residents' council, including discussions on housing issues and concerns such as what barriers to housing exist. Other areas of unique situations are addressing housing for emotional support pets, number of children, non-custodial parenting needs, work restrictions, and other concerns that vary among individuals.

2. Case staffing meetings are safe and confidential times when CMs can discuss discrepancies between policy and situations for survivors. Where appropriate, Legal Action addresses family law issues such as child custody, restraining orders, and victimization resulting from a DV scenario where a survivor is the victim but treated as an offending party. The past lived experience of staff and the residents' councils aid us in developing strategies to address survivors' unique and complex needs as they occur.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	No

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC’s process for evaluating compliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC’s process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The LGBTQ+ Center has representation on our Leadership Council (LC) and our Diversity, Equity, & Inclusivity (DEI) workgroup and participates in ad hoc workgroups that have reviewed program standards, CE standards, intake forms, and provided the appropriate wording and other changes where necessary.
2. Agencies are expected to maintain their own policies that are aligned with the CoC DEI statement approved by CoC membership on 7/21/23, with which all policies should, at minimum, comply. In response to smaller agencies' requests, DEI is now creating a toolkit for agencies to follow for developing or strengthening their agency/project-level anti-discrimination policies.
3. Our process is for our DEI workgroup & Rating, Ranking & Evaluation (RRE) to review policies as part of the combined process of rating, ranking & evaluation programs. In 2024, each funded agency had to provide copies of its anti-discriminatory policies regarding staff & services provided. Agencies were also reviewed on the diversity of their staff leadership & the diversity of their board of directors.
4. For any noncompliance issue, client/participant recourse includes requesting the CoC review the matter. Allegations found to have merit would be considered when evaluating agency compliance. Project rejections after CE referral are reviewed to determine the reason for rejection. Project terminations may be reviewed randomly for termination reasons to identify possible discrimination. The current CoC protocol in all noncompliance situations calls for the CoC Director to discuss with the agency to resolve the problem, and technical assistance would be offered as preliminary steps for any non-compliance. A referral to the Leadership Council (Board) by the CoC Director would occur for serious or ongoing non-compliance issues. The Leadership Council could recommend continuing the agency operating the project or refer to another entity, including HUD, for further investigation.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of Racine County	6%	Yes-HCV	Yes
Wisconsin Housing & Economic Development Authority	0%	No	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or	
	2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Housing Authority of Racine County (HARC) is the only PHA in our CoC jurisdiction. It has a homeless preference. We have worked with the Wisconsin Housing & Economic Dev Authority (WHEDA), our State PHA, to distribute EHV. That also was through a housing preference.
2. Does not apply

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:	

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
	In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:	

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No

7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Foster Youth to Independence	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	6
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	6
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All projects must participate in coordinated entry (CE). Denial after referral is reviewed to determine the reason for rejection to confirm that the reason is not related to Housing First (HF) non-compliance. The CoC reviews exit information on project APRs to identify exit destinations to identify those that may indicate non-compliance with HF. Program files are reviewed for any documents indicating there may be a non-compliance concern. Agency policies, including termination, are evaluated for discrepancies with HF. The CoC is working with the WI Housing First Coalition to utilize an HF Fidelity Scale based on the Pathways Housing First Fidelity Scale. It provides a more structured objective/subjective measure to use as an evaluation tool. Conducted together w/agency provides a dialog where strengths & weaknesses are & where to focus CoC partners' HF training events.

2. Exit destinations to other PH, & 6 months or longer are all positive performance factors contributing to points given during competition. Exit destinations to other than PH & exits before 5 months in the program are red flags for review, whether during the competition or other times throughout the year. Denials after CE referral that the CoC finds to be for reasons that would be non-compliant with HF are considered negative factors regarding overall project evaluation and performance. Repeated & ongoing denials would result in sanctions, including a project not being selected to submit a renewal application.

3. CE referrals resulting in a denial are reviewed for the reason for denial. Other than the APR required to be submitted in SAGE, APRs are run at various intervals to review exit destinations. Project files are randomly reviewed for agreements or other documents indicating non-compliance with HF. Agency policies & procedures are reviewed to identify those inconsistent with HF. This is an annual process.

4. Our CE workgroup adopted an additional vulnerability assessment to prioritize the most vulnerable individuals for housing opportunities. Additional factors programmed into the prioritization tool include but are not limited to barriers that have historically resulted in difficulty in locating & maintaining housing: criminal history, & history of evictions. We have an agency that specializes in helping sex offenders find housing.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

HOPES Center has 10+ years of experience providing Street Outreach (SO) services for the COC. Detailed SO standards and extensive training guide a demographically & linguistically diverse SO team that includes lived experience, LGBTQ+, & veterans. Racine’s unsheltered population is dispersed, usually sleeps in concealed locations, rarely contacts service providers, & often initially declines shelter & services. The SO team (SOT) works at night to locate & engage w/them in their sleeping locations. It locates people using knowledge of unsheltered sleeping location typologies, reports from community members & agencies, discussions w/clerks at 24-hr businesses & law enforcement, visits to health facilities, a hotline for self-reports, know locations, etc. The SOT makes approaches & initial contacts at night that minimize the perception of threat & risk of re-traumatization.

The team offers shelter, provides information & essential assistance, & starts a process of rapport building with those who decline immediate shelter. Relationships are key to eventual service connections, including mainstream resources, various housing options (including through coordinated entry), income, & health & mental health services. SOT provides warm handoffs to shelter, housing, employment, and health programs, & serves as a liaison between unsheltered persons & service providers.

HOPES’ SO team uses a coverage plan that ensures regular geographic coverage in Racine County (COC Area). The plan breaks the SO team into specialized sub-teams that include: 1) Geographic coverage - looking for previously unreached persons. 2) Intensive engagement focuses on working with a caseload of people on connections to housing and services. 3) Special teams for abandoned buildings, deep woods, and very remote locations. 4) Verifications: Follow-up on self-reports and 3rd party reports of someone unsheltered. 5) Downtown team w/general contact & engagement in the area w/the highest unsheltered population. Each shift is guided by a plan that lists people and places to visit with specific objectives on which the team is briefed at the start & a report is completed at the end of the shift. Shift plans & reports are accessible to all sub-teams for coordination.

HOPES uses HMIS to record all SO contacts determined to be unsheltered. The team uses location details reports, contact reports & program rosters generated by HMIS to make shift plans, monitor trends, & refine SO strategy.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC’s strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC’s geographic area:

	Your CoC’s Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes

3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	92	46

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC’s geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Veteran Resources	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
- promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Project case managers coordinate onsite assistance for open Medicaid/Medicare enrollment to help clients enroll in all forms of health coverage. Case managers work closely with TANF providers to confirm client eligibility & enroll clients in health coverage. Health Care Network assists those without coverage in receiving health services. The CoC continues to collaborate with the State of WI Dept of Health Services to host a monthly homelessness forum that continues to address updates on COVID-19 & other health concerns such as mental health, physical disabilities, & substance abuse. Our largest shelter works closely with the National Association for Mental Illness (NAMI). We have partnered with United Health Care (UHC) to begin identifying their clients who are living in PSH & link the clients with UHC’s case management for healthcare resources. We look to expand this to using our Coordinated Entry with clients’ permission to share info w/ their HMO service, in this case UHC, upon entering a shelter before receiving housing services.

2. Case managers connect participants with State of WI-funded benefit specialists experienced in utilizing benefits. PATH & SOAR providers work to ensure that people with serious mental illness can access benefits. A legal services provider administers a SOAR program, explains benefits to individuals, files appeals on clients' behalf, & represents them at administrative SSI & SSDI hearings. Updates regarding SAMHSA SOAR certification training are regularly sent to project staff. In addition to Legal Action staff, we have five SOAR-certified staff through various providers. This aids clients in getting the help they need to get through the process.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 CoC-wide, our policies & procedures (P&P) have been developed locally in consultation with the City of Racine Public Health Department, Central Racine County Health Department, and the UW-Parkside clinical nursing students. P&Ps are designed to address large-scale outbreaks and tailored within each project, such as shelters, PSH, & RRH, to address the level of proximity to clients & the risk of exposure to infected individuals. Options are available for projects to adjust their policies to fit the facility constraint & the number of clients in a shelter at any time. We have been fortunate not to experience any infectious disease outbreaks in our congregate settings.

2. CoC shelters continue to work with the City of Racine & Racine County to secure funding to create a safer environment within congregate settings. Shelters worked with city & county public health departments & the local hospital to provide educational materials on infectious diseases & distribute COVID & influenza injections to clients and staff who wanted to receive them. There is ongoing help to identify and provide context on keeping areas sterile or as clean as possible. Signage regarding handwashing, mask-wearing, and other preventative cautions is prominently displayed around common areas at all housing and service providers. The CoC director continues meeting with WI-DHS Division of Public Health on a bimonthly basis to remain updated with the most current infectious disease outbreak updates to avoid the spread of misinformation. This includes keeping track of new strains of RSV, pneumonia, influenza, and COVID to advise local providers on maintaining PPE stock & training due to staff turnover.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases. NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 The Racine CoC shares information locally and statewide through networking across the state and locally. Statewide, Ending Homelessness through State-CoC Partnerships (EHSCP) continues to meet biweekly, focusing on public health and homelessness. The CoC director is a member of EHSCP & the WI Interagency Council on Homelessness (WI-ICH). WI-ICH meets quarterly as a Council and additionally as workgroups that include state health officials, DHS staff, and local homelessness service providers. These groups provide information updates, resource sharing, and guidance for policy updates related to COVID-19 and other contagious diseases such as influenza. The groups continue to meet and share information about best practices with health measures in congregate shelters, street outreach contacts, PSH, and RRH projects. We continue to participate with the other 3 WI CoCs, DHS, and DOA to host a monthly homelessness forum to share info that includes the latest health measures for homelessness service providers.

2 In addition to attending statewide & local meetings, local providers are encouraged to subscribe to statewide and local e-lists to receive up-to-date information regarding outbreaks, prevention, & best practices to use within their project sites. Information is shared with street outreach (SO), shelter, and housing providers through our CoC membership meetings and presentations.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. We provide information through 211, the Aging & Disability Resource Center’s website directory, & our CoC website. Street Outreach (SO) has a 24-hour phone number & website for self-reporting unsheltered status. Our DV shelter has a phone & text line for victims seeking help. CE system staff will go to DV shelters for assessments rather than requiring them to go to a shelter or service provider for CE access. Street Outreach assesses people at their current living location.

2 We utilize HMIS to collect, record & report CE data. Our Coordinated Entry & Leadership Council has voted to adopt a new assessment to replace the VI-SPDAT. The review committee, together w/people w/lived experience & members of underserved Black & Brown communities, identified areas for improvement, addressed concerns & adopted a new assessment in Dec 2023.

3 All intake activities assume the existence of trauma. Intakes are confidential & private & conducted in private areas. Staff approach & address clients using trauma-informed ways to develop relationships. Clients are asked for consent to provide information for resources, & told they have the right to refuse to answer questions & still receive resources. These actions incorporate client safety, choice, & empowerment.

4. An MOU requires all CoC-funded projects to use CE, & send Case Managers (CM) twice a month to Case Staffing meetings. CMs discuss client feedback and address any concerns related to the CE process. HMIS Committee. meets bi-monthly & discusses concerns expressed by CMs at Case Staffing Mtgs. Racine CoC partners w/NAMI, the McKinney-Vento liaison of the largest school district, & while they do not directly utilize CE, they do provide feedback on how subpopulations may interpret specific questions. This helped restructure our priorities to include elements that sought to eliminate racial bias, economic biases, & household burdens in obtaining PH. Our CE workgroup revised our assessment tools post-pandemic operating environment. We continue to connect with households & persons w/lived experience for feedback & participation at workgroup meetings.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. SO locates and engages with unsheltered persons who don't self-report their locations or seek services. It builds relationships and offers connections to CE and services where they are. SO has a 24-hour phone number & website for self-reporting unsheltered status. Survivors may also be reluctant to seek out assistance and will often seek services only at shelters, other providers, & church organizations. CE system staff will go to those locations for assessments rather than requiring them to go to a shelter or service provider for CE access.

2. The CoC adopted the HUD prioritization found in Notice CPD 16-11 (7/25/16). Our CE workgroup adopted an additional vulnerability assessment to prioritize the most vulnerable individuals for housing opportunities. Additional factors have been programmed into a prioritization tool include age, underlying chronic health conditions, & barriers which have historically resulted in difficulty in locating & maintaining housing: criminal history, history of evictions, large families needing 3 or more bedrooms & financial instability.

3. Our CoC policy requires that clients are offered a referral to the CE list within 30 days. In addition to the assistance of their general case manager, the largest shelter in our CoC employs a Housing Navigator (HN) who works with landlords to locate & secure housing for persons on the CE list. The HN assists people in all agencies. Our CoC holds CE case staffing mtgs twice per month where the housing status for individuals on the CE list is discussed to ensure that the process is moving forward as quickly as possible. HN also holds housing navigation meetings, 2x monthly, to ensure HCV/EHV are utilized.

4. Clients may remain on the CE prioritization list even if they refuse to answer questions on the assessment. CE staff go to the client to administer assessments, & don't require them to go to a different location. To ensure all persons have the same access to services, we use the same assessment & prioritization list for everyone, including DV survivors, Legal Action WI assists with sealing evictions.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC policy requires that clients are offered a referral to the CE list within 30 days. Marketing is done via Veteran Stand downs, tables at resource networking events, day shelters, & community meal sites. Flyers are bilingual.
2. CoC CE policies require all participants to receive a copy of the client's rights and responsibilities, which include remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws and local grievance policies. The CE manager also monitors this.
3. The City of Racine has trained CMs on how to report Fair Housing violations & presented them to our CoC membership meetings. Clients are also informed of the ability to file grievances with HUD's Milw Field office.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	11/18/2022

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Quantitative data comes from custom reports developed by the HMIS lead to review program outcomes based on race, ethnicity, and gender. We incorporate PIT, HMIS annual data, STELLA P, the CoC Racial Equity Analysis tool, and the American Community Survey census data. This is regularly reviewed at the system performance committee meetings.
2. The system performance committee reviews data at monthly meetings. For example, one method to evaluate the street outreach project for racial disparities was to look at unsheltered contacts by zip code area. The Current Living Situation Assessment in HMIS includes homeless status (Place not meant for human habitation), assessment date (date of unsheltered contact), and location details (where the unsheltered person is sleeping). We can run a report disaggregating by people and location details. We then associate the location details with zip codes and compare the demographic data of the general population to the unsheltered persons with whom we had contact in that area.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	No
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	Integrated DEI into Rating & Ranking process to develop evaluation for racial disparities within the scoring tool.	Yes

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC plans for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, & procedures (P&P) consist of expanding our current DEI workgroup size and continue bringing other workgroups and committees together with the DEI group for direct evaluations of policies and procedures. We have developed and approved our DEI statement and continue to meet with the Rating, Ranking, & Evaluation Committee to jointly review ways to evaluate project performance through a DEI lens.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our System Performance Committee has committed to track the following measures continuously: 1) exits to permanent destinations, 2) the rate of returns to homelessness, 3) the length of time homeless, and 4) the number of 1st time homeless. We review data and examine system and project levels by race. The work in 2024, Rating & Ranking Committee became Rating, Ranking & Evaluation. Moving into 2025, RRE and the DEI workgroup will receive these reports regularly to include with their performance evaluations. RRE & DEI meet approximately two times a year to discuss where disparities may exist system-wide or at the project level.

2. Street Outreach uses location reports through HMIS to break down disparities by zip code to increase coverage where disparities are shown. CoC uses HMIS and non-HMIS data, and the System Performance Committee also uses the Equity & Outcomes Analysis Tool and an HMIS custom racial disparity report.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

We have representation of a person with LE on serving Leadership Council. Persons with LE are members of providers’ Board of Directors, and both our Youth Shelter and our DV housing project have active LE advisory participation. We solicit for the wider-CoC leadership through flyers, Facebook, and one-on-one outreach. Survey feedback tells us that individuals with lived experience prefer the one-on-one approach as many are not confident that they are “knowledgeable” of what committee or work group participation entails. We are working to develop mentoring-focused recruitment of individuals with lived experience to increase participation not only on CoC level opportunities but also within our partner agencies. We have also developed an opportunity for individuals to join a Lived Experience Advisory board. We are working with providers, specifically our Street Outreach (SO) provider, to have a means to solicit individuals through a Google Form method. This is the same method that individuals out on the street use to communicate when they desire assistance from the SO provider. We have also surveyed youth 18-24 yrs. old residing in shelters, RRH, and PSH projects to find out the best way they feel they can participate. Our next step is to reconnect with more specific information on working together and getting their voices and participation CoC-wide.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	1	1
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	3	1
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	1
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	3	2

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Agencies are encouraged to hire qualified individuals with lived experience in their programs. Several agencies have eliminated "waiting periods" for former clients of their programs being employed in their programs or decreased the "waiting period." Our largest shelter has several case managers and other staff with lived experience. In addition to seminars, courses, & training offered by Racine County Workforce Development, & Racine Vocational Ministry, the CoC has a designated provider that offers specific training to persons with lived experience. Many providers offer these services on-site. All providers offer referral and enrollment assistance in GED programs and enrollment assistance with postsecondary education. Internships and on-the-job training opportunities are also available in some circumstances. Clients with SSI are referred to DVI

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;

	4. how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
	5. steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Feedback is gathered by including those with lived experience on CoC member agency decision-making committees/Boards, CoC Leadership Council (LC), CoC committees/workgroups, surveys, focus groups, and exit & follow-up interviews. DV survivors provide feedback through their resident councils, which is shared through their rep on the LC. Street Outreach (SO) regularly communicates with people living on the street for feedback and brings that to the LC.

2. A minimum of 1 person must be included on the CoC LC and decision-making committees of agencies receiving CoC & ESG funding. We have created questionnaires to survey youth residing in PSH and RRH projects funded through CoC or ESG funds. Our goal is to discover what they need to participate in decision-making activities. We want to discover what barriers they experience when seeking housing, employment, and other resources available through the community providers serving individuals who are experiencing homelessness.

3. Discussions between CoC Leadership & individual agencies occur for issues specific to a particular agency. Feedback, positive & negative, is discussed at CoC meetings, including specific committees/workgroups. Feedback has been used for supplemental data analysis, as well as for planning, drafting standards, policies & procedures, & in social media. We have received feedback on landlord/tenant issues, evictions, and discrimination due to lack of or limited work experience. We have hired a Housing Navigator to develop relationships between landlords and establish conflict mediation to avoid evictions where possible.

- 4.
- 5.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:

1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Zoning and land use policies are regularly reviewed, including in the last year, to identify those that may harm affordable housing development in the City of Racine & Racine County. Due to previous efforts in this regard, the primary objective of a review is to ensure that barriers that have been removed are not reinstated or that there are no barriers. A CoC member agency, an affordable housing developer, addressed the City Council regarding the need to redevelop more foreclosed housing to make available for low/moderate income homeownership. Several CoC member agencies wrote letters in support of this project. CoC members collaborated with others in the State to review and provide input to the policies of the state agency administering Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC).

2. A review and analysis of local regulations is performed regularly. At the City of Racine level, we regularly discussed issues with our City Development staff, including regulatory barriers that may negatively impact housing development. The CoC reviews both the City & State Consolidated Plan & provides comments. We have created a Housing workgroup chaired by the Director of CoC member Racine Revitalization Partnership, consisting of the Racine City Economic Development Director, a Racine County Program Analyst & Civil Rights & Equal Opp Coordinator. for the Racine County Economic Support Division, and a member of the WI Dept of Workforce Dev. Veterans Employment Rep. Together, this group reviews regulations & opportunities to improve access to safe and affordable housing for tenants and low/moderate ownership, specifically the BIPOC community.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/07/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/07/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	120
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	3
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 Data is collected in HMIS. Currently, there aren't any PH projects utilizing a comparable database. An APR was run for each project using 5/1/2023 - 4/30/2024 so data for the same time frame was being reviewed. Using responses to questions that had been previously identified for the rating & ranking tool, the data was entered into a spreadsheet & scored based on a previously determined scoring range.

2 Data is collected & entered into the coordinated entry (CE) project maintained in HMIS. The CE assessment is built into our HMIS system and includes data fields that calculate the timeframe for someone to be housed. The CE staffing workgroup reviews data twice monthly to identify any patterns, areas of concern & solutions regarding the length of time to become housed. Currently, this is not a rating & ranking criteria for project selection; however, in 2024, the Rating, Ranking & Eval group began to examine each

3 The CE prioritization was revised during COVID to address specific COVID-related severity of needs & vulnerabilities, in addition to criteria in the VI-SPDAT. The rating & ranking tool includes a section addressing more severe needs, and projects are scored based on data from the APR that was run. Feedback continues from the 2023/24 workgroup convened to analyze current data & recommend revisions to the CE prioritization.

4 The rating & ranking tool awards additional points for projects serving the hardest-to-serve populations to compensate for points that may be lost due to poor performance in other areas due to the population being served. The overall rating & ranking process also allows the final prioritization to be adjusted to ensure a needed project is not reduced or eliminated based on performance due to having a harder-to-serve population compared to projects with easier-to-serve populations.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	2. how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	3. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC Leadership Council (LC) & the Rating, Ranking & Evaluation workgroup (RRE) have representation from the BIPOC community; in particular, they represent the over-represented portion of our local homeless population. We used the input from both these groups to assess whether our scoring tool accurately captured data from the over-represented homeless population. The discussions affirmed that the tool was appropriate to use because it addressed the characteristics & barriers of those over-represented in our local homeless population. Both LC & RRE reviewed & approved the applications for new projects and the rating and ranking scoring tool.

2. We have increased our representation of our LC and RRE through intentional personal recruitment. The result is a more diverse membership to review the rating & ranking scoring tool, new project applications, and funding priorities.

3. Most of our projects are renewal projects that are well known throughout the Racine CoC area, the BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and individuals with lived experience have expressed an interest in reviewing the rating & ranking scoring tool to better represent the performance of projects as they serve those who are overrepresented within our CoC homeless population. This group continues to meet monthly to continue the process of identifying elements that will provide a clear analysis of how services are provided to those over-represented in our area. There are also joint meetings with RRE and the Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion Workgroup (DEI) to be sure the DEI lens is used during the project reviews.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC permits agencies to voluntarily reallocate any of its projects' funding as long as it is still viable. An e-mail is sent to all renewal projects asking if there are any voluntary reallocations at the start of the competition. Rating & ranking, as well as the Leadership Council during its final project approval, can involuntarily reduce funding if a project does not meet the threshold score. As part of the final prioritization, Rating, Ranking, & Evaluation, and the Leadership Council can determine whether a project should not be reallocated or eliminated based on need within the CoC. The project would be ranked at the bottom & would have conditions for improving performance placed on it.
2. One Rapid Rehousing (RRH) project did not renew, and another chose to reallocate a portion of its original funding.
3. As a CoC, we did not identify any project as low performing, but we did accept the voluntary partial reallocation for the RRH project. The project met the threshold for funding, with families and children, which is a priority of our local CoC.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024. NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
--------	--	--

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps. NOFO Section V.B.2.g. You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
-------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/11/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps. NOFO Section V.B.2.g. You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
--------	--	--

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/11/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status—Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/28/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	10/28/2024
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus
--	--	----------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	04/24/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC requires DV housing & services providers to use Osnum, the system selected to be used throughout the State of WI by DV providers. DV provider staff participate in the CoC HMIS Committee. Reports are reviewed to ensure that the same HMIS elements are being collected in Osnum.
2. Our CoC is compliant with the 2024 HMIS Data Standards. The four federally recognized CoCs in the State of WI utilize the same HMIS, Bitfocus, and all have the same HMIS Lead Agency—Institute for Community Alliances (ICA). ICA oversees all system updates. The staff at ICA attend Data Standards training and oversee changes to any statewide manuals to ensure that the manual and system are compliant.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	142	34	176	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	40	35	75	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	46	0	46	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	132	0	132	100.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. 100% SO NOT APPLICABLE
2. 100% SO NOT APPLICABLE

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/24/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. SAFE Haven of Racine, a youth-serving organization, contributes to our PIT planning process every year, and its staff participated as counters on the night of the 2024 PIT count.
2. SAFE Haven of Racine has actively participated in planning and design. They have assisted in engaging youth to identify locations where youth might be found, and these locations were included in area descriptions provided to teams. These were used in 2024, with no amendments added to the prior years' work concerning probable youth locations.
3. Yes, we included youth counters as counters during our January 2024 unsheltered PIT count.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. No changes
2. No changes
3. Not applicable
4. Not applicable

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 The primary contact we have with people who are at risk of experiencing homelessness comes through phone calls, people presenting at shelters, or homelessness service providers in the community for help. The types of problems presented ranged from pending evictions, increased debt due to lower income from the loss of hours employed, loss of employment, inability to cover bills, such as utility or medical expenses, or domestic violence. We needed to update our post-COVID prescreen assessment, so we collaborated with the Wisconsin Balance of State CoC to develop a more client-centered approach that captured those risk factors and provided a consistent assessment across the two CoC geographic areas. We compared this anecdotal information with data from when people present themselves as homeless and often found these are the same precursors that led to their homelessness. Often, people do not seek help early enough to resolve their problems.

2. The CoC uses legal representation, rent assistance, & diversion as strategies for those at risk or imminent risk of homelessness due to eviction or displacement. Services such as 211 and Community Action Agency assist CoC agencies by funding utility bills, rent arrears, & mainstream services, including SNAP & TANF. Since 2022, the CoC’s largest shelter has funded a housing navigator for the CoC to develop relationships with landlords & property managers to improve access to housing and prevent evictions. Racine Vocational Ministry, a CoC partner, provides employment-related education & placement assistance.

3 The CoC through System Performance Committee & Coordinated Enter Case Staffing

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC’s Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:
--

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC’s geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. HMIS data reports are reviewed monthly by either a CoC committee or individual agencies to examine returns to homelessness & identify ways to reduce LOTH. The System Performance Committee (SPC) runs quarterly and annual reports to identify whether we need prioritization changes or to find a new funding source. One strategy is our partnership with the Racine Housing Authority to have a homeless preference with Section 8 vouchers & prioritize people by highest LOTH. In the summer of 2024, SPC began a CoC systemwide study to examine LOTH & Returns to Homelessness (RTH). In the summer of 2024, SPC began a CoC systemwide study to examine LOTH & Returns to Homelessness (RTH). Beginning 10/01, we are working with United Way for focus groups & CoC members are interviewing people with lived expertise, case managers, directors, & local government officials to understand contributing factors & barriers to leaving homelessness & remaining housed. Results will shape our strategy to reduce LOTH and RTH.

2. The Coordinated Entry (CE) Manager runs CE reports identifying people with the longest length of time homeless to offer referrals to Housing Choice Vouchers. We have a housing navigator who is our point of contact with the PHA to assist and engage with landlords and facilitate obtaining units. Additionally, joint case management with providers of income support services to foster housing stability & increase connections to mainstream resources.

3. The CoC through the System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroups.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. HMIS data reports are reviewed monthly by either a CoC committee or individual agencies to examine returns to homelessness & identify ways to reduce LOTH. The System Performance Committee (SPC) runs quarterly and annual reports to identify whether we need prioritization changes or to find a new funding source. One strategy is our partnership with the Racine Housing Authority to have a homeless preference with Section 8 vouchers & prioritize people by highest LOTH. In the summer of 2024, SPC began a CoC systemwide study to examine LOTH & Returns to Homelessness (RTH). Beginning 10/01, we are working with United Way for focus groups & CoC members are interviewing people with lived expertise, case managers, directors, & local government officials to understand contributing factors & barriers to leaving homelessness & remaining housed. Results will shape our 2025 strategy to reduce LOTH and RTH.

2. The Coordinated Entry (CE) Manager runs CE reports identifying people with the longest length of time homeless to offer referrals to Housing Choice Vouchers. We have a housing navigator who is our point of contact with the PHA to assist and engage with landlords and facilitate obtaining units. Additionally, joint case management with providers of income support services to foster housing stability & increase connections to mainstream resources.

3. The CoC through the System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroups.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 Early identification of participants who have lost a source of income, are not paying program fees or their bills, are experiencing a mental health or addiction crisis, or any other crisis is key to preventing a return to homelessness. Case managers attempt to contact participants weekly or often more are frequent the primary strategy to identify individuals in their programs. Case staffings occur regularly within agencies to revise housing stability plans & secure needed services. Identified large families at risk of losing housing due to high cost so we prioritize them to Move On to Housing Choice Vouchers to create housing & financial stability.

2 We utilize several strategies, including housing stability-focused case management, honoring client requests to transfer to other appropriate programs, identifying individuals and families for Section 8 vouchers and other subsidized programs, & Move-on strategies that include supportive services for those at risk. Additionally, we encourage joint case mngt with providers of income support services to foster housing stability & increase connections to mainstream resources. Legal Action provides services to seal eviction cases to help remove barriers from the stigma of eviction records. Our housing navigator works to promote landlord/tenant communication to resolve leasing issues before they escalate. Beginning 10/01, we are working with United Way for focus groups & CoC members are interviewing people with lived expertise, case managers, directors, & local government officials to understand contributing factors & barriers to leaving homelessness & remaining housed. Results will shape our strategy to reduce LOTH and RTH.

3. The CoC through the CoC System Performance Committee and Coordinated Entry workgroup.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 Several large local employers and employment staffing agencies have held job fairs, including direct contact with homeless agencies and transportation to the event. The Center for Veterans Issues holds a Stand Down for homeless veterans annually, which includes private employers & personal invitations to veterans at various homeless providers. CoC homeless organizations advertise these events and personally refer clients to them. The CoC has relationships with Racine County Workforce Development, First Choice Apprenticeship, & Gateway Technical College for training, including internships and apprenticeships. Various TANF and FSET programs are available to homeless individuals for on-the-job training, for either paid & unpaid work experience.

2 All people must be assessed for referral to an employment enhancement agency for job readiness, apprenticeships, and other employment services. Persons who are disabled or receiving SSI/SSDI are referred to a rehabilitative entity, such as the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation or a similar private nonprofit, to determine the feasibility of employment training. Racine County Workforce Development holds employment fairs and assists people in homeless shelters to attend. Racine County Workforce Development provides outreach to clients of other CoC organizations to assess job readiness, make connections for apprenticeships and similar training, job placement, GED and HSED training, TANF, and other employment services. The FSET agency for Racine County provides similar outreach.

3. Racine Vocational Ministry

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1 All agencies utilize a standard Mainstream Benefits checklist form. This form assures that individuals are referred to and assisted with applications to access mainstream benefits, including non-employment cash income. Legal Action of Wisconsin (LAW) employs an attorney for SOAR (SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery). LAW also assists individuals denied or terminated from TANF and FSET. Increasing non-employment cash income involves identifying whether or not a person is receiving or entitled to receive a non-employment cash income source and providing access to it. Our strategy for accessing non-employment case sources requires case managers to understand the resources available to clients, assist clients with applications, and have an attorney available to represent clients when benefits are denied or terminated. On-going information and training opportunities are made available to CoC staff, and there is a streamlined referral process to LAW. LAW now maintains regular office hours at the largest shelter to make it easier for clients to receive help. We now have at least five SOAR-certified staff through various providers and the LAW staff. This aids clients in getting the help they need to get through the process.

2. Homeless Assistance Leadership & Legal Action of Wisconsin (LAW) - LAW has an office at HALO

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
APOMO PSH FY2024 ...	PH-PSH	6	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? APOMO PSH FY2024 Expansion

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): ZQQJVKDCL815

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 6

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NA

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	78
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	12
3.	Unmet Need:	66

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
	2. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
	3. if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The annual count was derived using STELLA P based on LSA data & included a non-HMIS comparable database for emergency shelter projects of clients reporting as survivors of DV in need of housing and compared it to clients served in PH projects reporting as survivors of DV 10/01/22-9/30/23.
2. The data source for the count is STELLA P and Osnum, an HMIS-compliant database used by the local domestic violence shelter and service provider.
3. The city & county of Racine continue to experience shortages of affordable housing availability for various reasons. Landlords are not renting to those with low incomes or those with barriers often common to victims of domestic violence. Because of the demand for housing, landlords are increasing eligibility requirements, including demanding income that is 3 times the amount of rent and security deposits. Victims often become homeless overnight with little opportunity to find work and save sufficiently to afford housing upon completing emergency shelter stays. Landlords readily evict tenants with little concern for their personal circumstances. Demand and inflation have drastically increased rents, putting them out of range for those escaping domestic violence. Although arguably illegal, landlords use domestic violence histories as a reason not to rent to survivors. Because they have experienced domestic violence, survivors need additional safety measures and trauma-informed supportive services not readily available in the open housing market, and there are few projects dedicated to DV victims. Bethany Apartments is fortunate to have a DOJ OVW project, but stays are limited to 24 months. Many victims of domestic violence experienced evictions as a direct result of their DV experience (disturbances in the home, property damage, complaints to the police or child protective services) or because they could not pay the rent when the abuser was removed from the home. Although Legal Action is working on sealing eviction records in response, it may be too late for those needing housing. Larger families fleeing DV have an especially difficult time finding adequate, affordable housing.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	
	Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.	

Applicant Name
Women's Resource ...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Women's Resource Center of Racine
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	80%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	50%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. The housing placement rate is determined by calculating the number of individuals served by WRC & successfully placed in safe housing through participation in the program versus those who leave the program before finding housing. Of those clients receiving help at the program, 80% found safe, affordable housing. Twenty percent chose to leave the program and did not report whether they found housing.

2. Yes, the 80% rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations

3. The housing retention rate is estimated based on the number of survivors who successfully found safe housing and chose to remain connected to WRC. Of the 80% of clients who found safe housing, 50% chose to remain connected to the program and are known to be housed in safe, affordable housing.

4. The data source used for data is OSNIUM, which is our DV-comparable database.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;	
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. For 34 years Women’s Resource Center (WRC) & Bethany Apartments have provided transitional housing to DV survivors as a longer-term solution to homelessness. This provides an opportunity for survivors to gain the skills & resources necessary to live safely & independently in permanent housing upon departure from transitional housing. Case management assists residents in obtaining the resources necessary to locate, obtain, & maintain affordable housing when they leave. Case managers (CM) encourage residents to plan for their departure from transitional housing early in their residencies at Bethany so that housing is secured within the 24-month limit.

2. WRC utilizes the same Coordinated Entry (CE) assessment and prioritization list as the CoC. The staff at the WRC shelter offer the client the option to schedule an appt w/CE staff & be added to the CE prioritization list. By integrating survivors into the CE prioritization list, they are prioritized for & receive the same housing opportunities & services as all other populations. Per the CoC Emerg. Transfer Plans (ETH) are prioritized above any other household for open units.

3. WRC and Bethany Apartments offer survivors a full spectrum of supportive services. All services are voluntary, but survivors are encouraged to work frequently with the CM to determine their needs & obtain the resources to fulfill them. Bethany administers the Adult Needs and Strength Assessment. WRC believes that victims are the best determiners of their needs & how to meet them, so services & assessments are survivor-driven & trauma-sensitive.

4. Supportive services in the community are provided through members of the Continuum of Care. For example, Racine Vocational Ministry (RVM) provides employment support and various supportive services. Legal Action of WI works with survivors and CMs to identify issues related to fair housing barriers, landlords' or property managers' victimization of DV survivors, and neighborhood conditions.

5. WRC, through Bethany, provides survivors with access to employment services, educational opportunities, parenting support, benefits assistance, financial sustainability programs, & practices designed to ensure their ability to maintain housing stability into the future, including living after the subsidy ends. Clients are also connected with local PHA for Housing Vouchers.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CE provider has adopted protocols, such as going to the shelter for intake & assessment for CE, rather than requiring DV clients to go to an offsite location. When people fleeing domestic violence present at any access point, the participant has the option of connecting w/services specifically designed to meet the unique needs of the subpopulation or using the general CE system. A trauma informed approach is employed, & survivors are advised that all services are voluntary to avoid any sense of coercion. Records are secured & names are not used in databases or systems.

2. When residents leave Bethany safety and security are considerations for placements into safe housing. Bethany staff work with landlords who understand the situations & circumstances faced by survivors of domestic violence. A new collaborator, Racine Revitalization Partnership, has acquired a building that will be renovated to provide 3 apartments for survivors of DV. These units will be supplied with advanced security systems, & the mngmnt will employ trauma-sensitive practices & procedures. Residents leaving Bethany are offered aftercare services, including safety planning at various intervals. Bethany assists with security equipment through other funding for those who cannot obtain it independently.

3. Bethany has operated under a federal Dept of Justice Office on Violence Against Women transitional housing grant award for 6 years. Confidentiality, privacy, & security are primary concerns of the OVW. No information is released unless the program participant gives informed consent. Bethany women are not entered into the HMIS database, & all members of the CoC know that there is an alternate priority placement listing that does not include client info. CoC mbrs have been educated on respecting these restrictions for safety reasons. Per Policy & Procedures, no records are kept after 1yr after departing, & there is no acknowledgement at a survivor is present at WRC or Bethany.

4. Technical Assistance for the OVW grant award is offered through the National Network to End Domestic Violence, which provides annual safety and confidentiality training for all staff. The agency also offers new staff orientation regarding safety and confidentiality practices and procedures.

5. The transitional housing facility is a clustered site 12-unit building monitored with a security system, locked entries, & 24-hour on-site supervision. Off-site housing will be security monitored.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

OVW through DOJ requires security and safety planning and is reviewed as a result of monitoring of the award. OVW provides guidance and assistance for providing adequate safety and security for victims of domestic violence. Bethany reviews procedures semiannually. A professional security system was employed last year to evaluate the safety and security measures employed by Bethany Apartments. This review resulted in upgrading the surveillance system, adding additional security cameras, and increasing staff training on security procedures, including law enforcement officers' involvement. The door lock system was upgraded to an electronic system that can be regulated online and eliminates the dangers of lost keys. Access can be denied immediately when a fob is lost or stolen. New doors are being added to decrease the probability of unauthorized breaches into the building.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. WRC and Bethany Apartments has provided trauma-informed services for at least 15 years. Prioritizing placement & stabilization of survivors begins when people fleeing DV present at any access point. WRC shelter staff offer the client the option to schedule an appt w/CE staff & be added to the CE prioritization list where they are prioritized for & receive the same housing opportunities & services as all other populations. All WRC programs and services are designed to ensure that those fleeing DV are securely placed either in shelter or housing and that through advocacy, counseling and case management, they have the opportunity to stabilize in a non-threatening environment.

2. Those fleeing DV most often cannot return to previous living arrangements that included exposure to abusers. Once safety is obtained & situations stabilize the goal of all WRC services is to determine the needs and desires of survivors and assist them in obtaining safe and affordable permanent housing. Utilizing a Housing First model, survivors work together w/case managers (CM) to find safe affordable permanent housing options.

3. WRC recognizes that the wishes and preferences of the survivors are tantamount when placing individuals in housing & ensuring their stabilization. Meeting the preferences of survivors is empowering and bolsters their attempts to live independently and free from abuse. Meeting their preferences restores dignity and self-worth. Survivors are encouraged to make their own decisions and are allowed to offer solutions to any problems.

4. WRC recognizes that meeting the self-determined needs of the survivors are when providing stable environment and placing individuals in housing is paramount to supporting their efforts to escape domestic violence. Survivors who have been unable to control their lives need to be in control whenever possible. They also need to feel safe.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
	1. establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
	2. providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
	3. emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
	4. centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
	5. providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
	6. offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. All services are voluntary, and no one is removed from housing for failure to participate in programming. Survivors are encouraged to make their own decisions and are allowed to offer solutions to any problems. All survivors are treated with respect and dignity and given the space they need to develop trust in staff. Survivors are given a voice in their individual planning and the program's overall operation. Survivors are encouraged to participate in the residents' council to ensure that their thoughts and opinions are heard. Staff encourage survivors to resume control over their lives and are trained to put power in the hands of the survivors. Any rules evolve only from the need for safety, confidentiality, and security.

2. Programming and individual interventions are designed to assist survivors in understanding the impact of trauma on themselves and their children. WRC follows a Housing First model and allows survivors to proceed at their own pace regarding services and interventions.

3. Strength-based case management lies at the heart of the programming offered at WRC. With the approval of survivors, case managers may administer the Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment to support decision-making and the level of care and service planning. Victims of domestic violence often leave relationships believing they have no strengths, skills, or attributes. By encouraging survivors to define their needs and take an active role in their recovery, survivors begin to feel adequate and in control.

4. WRC hires staff and retains volunteers representing those we serve. Through WRC's involvement with the Office on Violence Against Women, & the National Network to End Domestic Violence, and other community resources, staff participate in training to ensure that programming is culturally competent and meets the users' needs. All WRC programs have strict policies surrounding non-discrimination and inclusivity. WRC employs staff fluent in Spanish and uses professional language interpretation/translation services for other language needs. Bethany recognizes that trauma-informed approaches may differ given the cultural background of individuals and seeks input from survivors on how they would like to be approached.

5. Case management ensures that program participants have ample opportunities to connect with resources within the program and throughout the community. In-house groups allow for discussion on topics chosen by participants. Community groups willing to ensure confidentiality are invited in to offer support and networking. Those individuals seeking religious or spiritual guidance are provided information and contacts to assist them. As stated previously, the Resident's Council also serves as a means to provide peer guidance, mentoring, and access to new services and ideas.

6. Survivors of trauma who have been fleeing violent situations must learn to deal with the impact of their own trauma but also that suffered by their children. Specific individual and group sessions help parents understand the impact of the trauma on their children. Trained staff offer strength-based parenting support that helps parents cope with their children's reactions to change and the adjustments they must make. Parents who have fled abusive relationships may want assistance as they learn to parent alone and to engage in positive parenting. A variety of evidence-based tools and programs are made available. Most importantly, staff offer support and encouragement.

As survivors face independence, they may find a variety of legal matters they need or want to address. Issues surrounding divorce, child custody, child support, and periods of placement require connection to appropriate services.

The Legal Advocate at the Women’s Resource Center is available as a first step in making connections and providing support and advocacy. Survivors are referred to Legal Action of Wisconsin for civil and family matters and to the office of the Public Defender or private bar attorneys for criminal matters.

4A-3g.	Applicant’s Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

The Women’s Resource Center and its program, Bethany Apartments, have been meeting the service needs of victims and survivors for 47 years. What follows are examples of the supportive services offered.

Case management: Weekly group meetings where women meet with the case manager to discuss issues/concerns/ideas, receive training in a variety of areas, and interact with guest presenters on a wide range of topics while their children are supervised and provided with educational and recreational activities in another room; Assistance in addressing educational needs and accessing educational resources; Assistance and preparation for seeking employment; Money management; Transportation; Parenting Skills; Access to health care; Linkages to other resources and services. Bethany assists women in their search for permanent housing when they are ready to leave or approaching 24 months in residence. The Bethany program serves underserved populations and assures that services are culturally competent, accessible, and offered at no cost. During weekends and non-business hours, the Resident Support Worker addresses the needs of the women and children and monitors the building for safety. The Resident Support Worker also provides supervision and programming for children when the women are in groups or involved in other activities.

Who will provide services? All WRC staff are involved in providing supportive services and advocacy as needed and desired by program participants. The Case Manager is primarily responsible for providing direct services and coordinating other supportive services. The Case Manager provides individual counseling sessions, group sessions, services, and groups for the children and monitors the progress of women who have established personal goals. The Case Manager empowers individuals with the needed services and provides guidance and assistance. As indicated previously, the Resident Support Worker assists residents during non-business hours.

Where offered and how accessed: WRC will provide services to scattered site dwellers through home visitation and through its Bethany program. Bethany Apartments is fortunate to have a lower level that provides private offices and common areas. The Case Manager works full-time and can readily accommodate the needs of the women. Participants can walk in for services during designated hours or schedule appointments.

Participants are also offered services through the Women’s Resource Center and encouraged to partake in services there. The WRC is located several blocks away and is readily accessible. Other supportive services may be provided in the community through members of the Continuum of Care. Racine Vocational Ministry (RVM) provides employment support and various supportive services. RVM is located a block from Bethany Apartments. Women are offered bus passes if transportation is required to obtain services.

Plan for follow-up services.

- a. What will be offered? WRC offers follow-along services to women who successfully complete the program. Participants are encouraged to continue participating in group and individual counseling and permit home visits by the case manager to ensure a smooth transition.
- b. How long are offered: Services are offered for up to three months. Women may choose to terminate follow-up at any time.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	
	Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;	
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;	
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. WRC and Bethany Apartments have provided trauma-informed services for at least 15 years. All WRC programs and services are designed to ensure that those fleeing domestic violence are securely placed either in shelter or housing and that through advocacy, counseling and case management, they have the opportunity to stabilize in a non-threatening environment.

2. Those fleeing domestic violence most often cannot return to previous living arrangements that included exposure to abusers. Once safety is obtained and situations stabilize the goal of all WRC services is to determine the needs and desires of survivors and assist them in obtaining safe and affordable permanent housing. Our local PHA has a housing preference, we encourage survivors to utilize housing vouchers when available, utilized EHV's through 2024, and work with a local developer to house survivors in new rental units.

3. WRC recognizes that the wishes and preferences of the survivors are tantamount to placing individuals in housing and ensuring their stabilization. Meeting the preferences of survivors empowers them and bolsters their attempts to live independently and free from abuse. Meeting their preferences restores dignity and self-worth.

4. WRC recognizes that meeting the self-determined needs of the survivors are when providing stable environment and placing individuals in housing is paramount to supporting their efforts to escape domestic violence. Survivors who have been unable to control their lives need to be in control whenever possible. They also need to feel safe.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	

	4. center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
	5. provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
	6. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. All services are voluntary, and no one is removed from housing for failure to participate in programming. Survivors are encouraged to make their own decisions and are allowed to offer solutions to any problems. All survivors are treated with respect and dignity and given the space they need to develop trust in staff. Survivors are given a voice in their individual planning and the program's overall operation. Survivors are encouraged to participate in the residents' council to ensure that their thoughts and opinions are heard. Staff encourage survivors to resume control over their lives and are trained to put power in the hands of the survivors. Any rules evolve only from the need for safety, confidentiality, and security.

2. Programming and individual interventions are designed to assist survivors in understanding the impact of trauma for themselves and their children. Bethany Apartments follows a Housing First model and allows survivors to proceed at their own pace regarding services and interventions.

3. Strength-based case management lies at the heart of the programming offered at WRC. With the approval of survivors, case managers may administer the Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment to support decision-making and the level of care and service planning. Victims of domestic violence often leave relationships believing they have no strengths, skills, or attributes. By encouraging survivors to define their needs and take an active role in their recovery, survivors can begin to feel adequate and in control.

4. WRC hires staff and retains volunteers who are representative of those we serve. Through our involvement with the Office of Violence Against Women, the National Network to End Domestic Violence, and other community resources, staff participate in training to ensure that programming is culturally competent and meets the users' needs. Bethany has strict policies surrounding non-discrimination and inclusivity. Bethany employs staff fluent in Spanish and uses professional language interpretation/translation services for other language needs. Bethany recognizes that trauma-informed approaches may differ given the cultural background of individuals and seeks input from survivors on how they would like to be approached.

5. Case management ensures that program participants have ample opportunities to connect with resources within the program and throughout the community. In-house groups allow for discussion on topics chosen by participants. Community groups willing to assure confidentiality are invited in to offer support and networking. Those individuals seeking religious or spiritual guidance are provided information and contacts to assist them. As stated previously, the Resident's Council also serves as a way to provide peer guidance, mentoring, and access to new services and ideas.

6. Survivors of trauma who have been fleeing violent situations must learn to deal with the impact of their own trauma but also that suffered by their children. Specific individual and group sessions help parents understand the impact of the trauma on their children. Trained staff offer strength-based parenting support that helps parents cope with their children's reactions to change and the adjustments they must make. Parents who have fled abusive relationships may want assistance as they learn to parent alone and to engage in positive parenting. A variety of evidence-based tools and programs are made available. Most importantly, staff offer support and encouragement.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | with a range of lived expertise; and |
| 2. | in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. WRC and Bethany Apartments have a history of including individuals with lived experience on its board of directors and staff. A recent resident manager was a resident of Bethany Apartments for two years before taking the Resident Manager position. She not only experienced severe violence at the hands of her abuser but her oldest child was also impacted. Additionally, she was an immigrant from another country and had to overcome educational and employment barriers due to her immigrant status. She and her daughter ultimately became U.S. citizens, and her varied experiences have greatly benefited the survivors we serve. Previous residents are invited to serve on the board of directors. Throughout the 47 years of operation, their input has been vital in operating, evaluating, and developing programs and services. At present, a minimum of two survivors sit on the WRC board.

2. WRC convenes a Resident's Council that is instrumental in bringing ideas to the program's staff and management regarding the program's operation, rules, and other components. Exit interviews are conducted with parting individuals who address whether they have met personal goals, whether the program met their needs, and changes they would like to see implemented. Including individuals on the board of directors ensures that the organization's governance has an eye on the needs of survivors and that their voices are heard.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/28/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/28/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Racine CoC Housin...	10/02/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/10/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/28/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/23/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/23/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/29/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Web Posting—CoC-A...	10/28/2024
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Notification of C...	10/28/2024

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD's Homeless Da...	10/15/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Racine CoC Housing First tool WI 502

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description: Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX)
Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/12/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/30/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/30/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/30/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/28/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/30/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/30/2024
2C. System Performance	10/30/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/30/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/30/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/30/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/30/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	10/29/2024
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Streamlined Annual PHA Plan <i>(HCV Only PHAs)</i>	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Public and Indian Housing	OMB No. 2577-0226 Expires 02/29/2016
--	---	---

Purpose. The 5-Year and Annual PHA Plans provide a ready source for interested parties to locate basic PHA policies, rules, and requirements concerning the PHA's operations, programs, and services, and informs HUD, families served by the PHA, and members of the public of the PHA's mission, goals and objectives for serving the needs of low- income, very low- income, and extremely low- income families

Applicability. Form HUD-50075-HCV is to be completed annually by **HCV-Only PHAs**. PHAs that meet the definition of a Standard PHA, Troubled PHA, High Performer PHA, Small PHA, or Qualified PHA do not need to submit this form. Where applicable, separate Annual PHA Plan forms are available for each of these types of PHAs.

Definitions.

- (1) **High-Performer PHA** – A PHA that owns or manages more than 550 combined public housing units and housing choice vouchers, and was designated as a high performer on both of the most recent Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) and Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) assessments if administering both programs, or PHAS if only administering public housing.
- (2) **Small PHA** - A PHA that is not designated as PHAS or SEMAP troubled, or at risk of being designated as troubled, that owns or manages less than 250 public housing units and any number of vouchers where the total combined units exceeds 550.
- (3) **Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Only PHA** - A PHA that administers more than 550 HCVs, was not designated as troubled in its most recent SEMAP assessment, and does not own or manage public housing.
- (4) **Standard PHA** - A PHA that owns or manages 250 or more public housing units and any number of vouchers where the total combined units exceeds 550, and that was designated as a standard performer in the most recent PHAS and SEMAP assessments.
- (5) **Troubled PHA** - A PHA that achieves an overall PHAS or SEMAP score of less than 60 percent.
- (6) **Qualified PHA** - A PHA with 550 or fewer public housing dwelling units and/or housing choice vouchers combined, and is not PHAS or SEMAP troubled.

A.	PHA Information.																														
A.1	<p>PHA Name: Housing Authority of Racine County PHA Code: WI183</p> <p>PHA Plan for Fiscal Year Beginning: 01/01/2024</p> <p>PHA Inventory (Based on Annual Contributions Contract (ACC) units at time of FY beginning, above)</p> <p>Number of Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs) 1541 + 65 RAD2 + 54 VASH + 26 MS5 + 4 FY1</p> <p>PHA Plan Submission Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual Submission <input type="checkbox"/> Revised Annual Submission</p> <p>Availability of Information. In addition to the items listed in this form, PHAs must have the elements listed below readily available to the public. A PHA must identify the specific location(s) where the proposed PHA Plan, PHA Plan Elements, and all information relevant to the public hearing and proposed PHA Plan are available for inspection by the public. Additionally, the PHA must provide information on how the public may reasonably obtain additional information of the PHA policies contained in the standard Annual Plan, but excluded from their streamlined submissions. At a minimum, PHAs must post PHA Plans, including updates, at the main office or central office of the PHA. PHAs are strongly encouraged to post complete PHA Plans on their official website.</p> <p>The following are locations where the PHA Plan may be viewed: The Housing Authority of Racine County 837 Main St Racine, WI 53403</p> <p>Or our website at: www.rcha.org</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PHA Consortia: (Check box if submitting a joint Plan and complete table below)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 25%;">Participating PHAs</th> <th style="width: 10%;">PHA Code</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Program(s) in the Consortia</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Program(s) not in the Consortia</th> <th style="width: 20%;">No. of Units in Each Program</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Lead HA:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Participating PHAs	PHA Code	Program(s) in the Consortia	Program(s) not in the Consortia	No. of Units in Each Program	Lead HA:																								
Participating PHAs	PHA Code	Program(s) in the Consortia	Program(s) not in the Consortia	No. of Units in Each Program																											
Lead HA:																															

B.2	<p>New Activities</p> <p>(a) Does the PHA intend to undertake any new activities related to the following in the PHA's current Fiscal Year?</p> <p>Y N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Project Based Vouchers.</p> <p>(b) If this activity is planned for the current Fiscal Year, describe the activities. Provide the projected number of project-based units and general locations, and describe how project-basing would be consistent with the PHA Plan.</p> <p>PHA is currently under PBV HAP-Contract for 65 RAD2 PBV's, and 60 PBV's. Additionally, we are working through the process to lease another 32 PBV's that were selected through an RFP in 2022, as well as 3 from an RFP in 2021.</p>
B.3	<p>Most Recent Fiscal Year Audit.</p> <p>(a) Were there any findings in the most recent FY Audit?</p> <p>Y N N/A <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> x</p> <p>(b) If yes, please describe: Audit results are pending</p>
B.4	<p>Civil Rights Certification</p> <p>Form HUD-50077, <i>PHA Certifications of Compliance with the PHA Plans and Related Regulations</i>, must be submitted by the PHA as an electronic attachment to the PHA Plan.</p>
B.5	<p>Certification by State or Local Officials.</p> <p>Form HUD 50077-SL, <i>Certification by State or Local Officials of PHA Plans Consistency with the Consolidated Plan</i>, must be submitted by the PHA as an electronic attachment to the PHA Plan.</p>
B.6	<p>Progress Report.</p> <p>Provide a description of the PHA's progress in meeting its Mission and Goals described in its 5-Year PHA Plan.</p> <p>HARC has created a nonprofit to aid in development opportunities, Wisconn Valley Revitalization Corp.. HARC has partnered with two developers to project base 32 vouchers, and plans to issue another RFP in 2024. HARC has partnerships with 7 local social service agencies, to use a coordinated entry system for referrals to our HCV Wait List, prioritizing the <u>homeless and at-risk population.</u> HARC has created new FSS and Homeownership flyers with website pages devoted to these programs, and have stepped up outreach efforts by providing flyers to all New Admissions, and with all Annual Recert packets.</p>
B.7	<p>Resident Advisory Board (RAB) Comments.</p> <p>(a) Did the RAB(s) provide comments to the PHA Plan?</p> <p>Y N <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>(a) If yes, comments must be submitted by the PHA as an attachment to the PHA Plan. PHAs must also include a narrative describing their analysis of the RAB recommendations and the decisions made on these recommendations.</p>

Instructions for Preparation of Form HUD-50075-HCV Annual PHA Plan for HCV Only PHAs

A. **PHA Information.** All PHAs must complete this section. ([24 CFR §903.23\(4\)\(e\)](#))

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

PHAs must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that the PHA will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].



Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

PHAs are permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits the PHA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the PHA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

PHA Policy

The PHA will use the following local preferences:

5 Points in total if you have one or all of the following: families with at least one minor child <18, elderly (62+), disabled (per 42 USC 423 or 42 USC 6001)/SSI.

5 Points will be given to all Veterans of the United States Armed Forces who were discharged from service other than with a dishonorable discharge. The preference will also be given to an unmarried, surviving spouse of a United States Veteran.

5 Points to working families where the head, co-head or sole member is employed for at least 20 hours a week.

10 Points will be awarded to applicants that have established Racine County residency and that live, work, or have been hired to work in Racine County, Wisconsin.

30 points will be given to an individual or family that is homeless as defined in PIH 2013-15 Category 1 or Category 4, or who is referred from a PHA approved homeless services agency.

40 Points will be awarded to any family that has been terminated from its HCV program due to insufficient program funding.

The PHA will use the following added preferences to the HCV waiting list that will facilitate the selection of “non-elderly disabled” referred applicants eligible for the Mainstream Voucher Program (please see Chapter 19). These preferences are:

1. 150 preference points will be given to applicants that are currently experiencing homelessness
2. 150 preference points will be given to applicants that are in danger of being institutionalized
3. 150 preference points will be given to applicants that transitioning out of an institution.
4. 75 preference points will be given to applicants that at risk of homelessness

FY2024 CoC Homeless Assistance Grant Proposal Scoring – NEW & Bonus Applications

Agency/Project _____ Reviewer: _____

Rapid Rehousing Permanent Supportive Housing Joint Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing DV Bonus

Application Response	Scoring Criteria for Max Points	Score/Max pts	Notes/Comments
From E-SNAPS Application			
Describe your organization’s (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in this application. (E-snaps, Question 2B-1)	Grantee demonstrates qualifications that should contribute to program’s success.	____/15 pts	
Describe your organization’s (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds. (E-snaps, Question 2B-2)			
Describe your organizations financial management structure (E-snaps, Question 2B-3)			
For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur. (E-snaps, Question 3B-2)	Implementation timeline is concrete and reasonable, which ensures that the project will meet the proposed project outcomes and expend the full grant award.	____/15 pts	
Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. (E-snaps, Question 3B-1)	Target population and services offered are clearly described.	____/20 pts	
Funding Request (E-snaps, Question 6, 6J provides summary budget)	Budget and staffing is eligible, realistic, and in line with comparable programs.	____/15 pts	
From Project Application			
1. Please describe how your project takes proactive steps to minimize or overcome barriers to housing retention.	Project works with participant to maintain housing using a creative, collaborative response and may include the use of harm reduction, evidence-based practices, staff training/support, special payment arrangements for rental arrears, etc.	____/20 pts	
2. Describe your agency’s overall quality improvement efforts. Please include how you solicit and incorporate feedback from program participants.	Applicant demonstrates commitment and capacity for quality improvement, including incorporation of feedback from program participants.	____/15 pts	

3.CoC-funded projects are required to comply with the Racine CoC Written Standards. Describe how the agency plans on ensuring compliance with the Written Standards including plans for internal review and monitoring of project policies and practices.	Applicant answers no to the question and indicates full compliance in the written standards checklists. For a new program, applicant indicates the agency’s intent for full compliance.	___/15 pts	
4.All projects, including non-housing projects, must operate with the Housing First approach as described in the CoC Written Standards general requirements. Describe how the Housing First approach will be applied to the proposed project. Include aspects of project policies and staff training that can support the Housing First approach such as trauma-informed care and harm reduction.	Applicant demonstrates good understanding of the Housing First approach and is committed to practicing the approach.	___/20 pts	
5.Describe key partnerships your agency has established that will help with implementation of this project.	Applicant is aware of key partnerships needed for the project success and has established or has plans to establish some key partnerships in preparation of the proposed project.	___/20 pts	
6. What percentage of staff members working for this program identify as Black, Indigenous or a Person of Color?	Program staff reflect target population	___/10 pts	
7. How does this program work against systematic racism and other structures of oppression?	Applicant has strong commitment, describes detailed actions that have or are likely to see results.	___/10 pts	
8. How is this program and its practices culturally responsive to the population(s) who participate?	Applicant describes strong culturally responsive approaches with a strong ongoing commitment.	___/10 pts	
9. What barriers does your project have for participation by Black, Indigenous and Persons of Color (e.g., lack of outreach)? What steps has your agency taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers?	Applicant clearly identifies barriers and has a plan to address them that will likely see results.	___/10 pts	
Bonus Points for Housing & Healthcare Leverage		/20 pts	
TOTAL POINTS		/200 pts	

	Possible Points	CVI PSH	HALO APOMO PSH	HOPE\$ RRH	Lss of Racine Welcome Home RRH	ICA CE	ICA HMIS	Total ARD	CoC Planning
Renewal amount	120	\$233,497	\$558,998	\$91,657	\$87,319	\$82,525	\$30,000	\$1,083,996	\$124,882
Project type		PSH	PSH	RRH	RRH	SSO	HMIS	\$975,596	Tier 1 = 90% ARD
Performance								\$374,647	DV Bonus
Exit/retain Perm Housing(PSH)/ move to PH (RRH)	25							\$299,718	CoC Bonus
Returns to Homelessness, 1 year lookback (Program Recidivism Report)	15								
New/Increase in Employment (stayers)	2.5								
New/Increase in Non-Employment (stayers)	2.5								
New/Increase in Employment (leavers)	2.5								
New/Increase in Non-Employment leavers)	2.5								
Increased or maintained income (APR worksheet)	TIE Breaker								
Serve High Need Population									
% w/zero income at Entry	10								
% w/more than one disability	10								
% entering from streets	10								
Coordinated Entry Compliance									
% New entries with CE referrals accepted	10								
Cost reasonableness									
% Project Reasonable cost	20								
POINTS									
Possible points	120	120	120	120	120	120			
Total score	120	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
weighted scores out of 100	100	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Threshold 50+% total score		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
Ranking by rating score decision					Not renewing				
THRESHOLD CRITERIA									
DATA Completeness/Quality/Accuracy									
% UDE Data Completeness 5/01/2023 -04/30/2024	95%								
% Data Quality PII(APR)	NA								
% Data Quality Income/Housing elements (APR)	NA								
% Data Quality UDE (APR)	NA								
Unit Utilization									
bed/unit utilization rate (APR)	90%								
Units per HIC/GIW									
Quarterly PIT count (HH)		x/x/x/x	x/x/x/x	x/x/x/x	x/x/x/x				
Total households served		18	37	22					
Other and Local Criteria									

Please provide a brief description of the ways in which your organization's Board, executives, and staff incorporate feedback from people with lived experiences. (100 words or less but clear and	2					
Please provide a date when the recipient last reviewed and updated, if necessary, internal policies and procedures with an equity lens on (Provide date)	2					
Please submit a copy of the recipient's DEI statement and the date when last reviewed	2					
Total percentage of the recipients organizational leadership team (senior staff) has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, Women, LGBTQIA+, 7 or less years of lived experience)	2					
Total percentage of the recipients board of directors membership has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, Women, LGBTQIA+, 7 or less years of lived experience)	2					

Priorities	
Chronic	1st
Senior(55+)	2nd
Families with children	3rd
DV	4th
Youth	5th
Vets	6th
Gender identity/expression	7th

	Possible Points	CVI PSH
Renewal amount	120	\$233,497
Project type		PSH
Performance		
Exit/retain Perm Housing(PSH)/ move to PH (RRH)	25	25
Returns to Homelessness, 1 year lookback (Program Recidivism Report)	15	15
New/Increase in Employment (stayers)	2.5	0
New/Increase in Non-Employment (stayers)	2.5	0
New/Increase in Employment (leavers)	2.5	0
New/Increase in Non-Employment leavers)	2.5	2.5
Increased or maintained income (APR worksheet)	TIE Breaker	
Serve High Need Population		
% w/zero income at Entry	10	0
% w/more than one disability	10	10
% entering from streets	10	0
Coordinated Entry Compliance		
% New entries with CE referrals accepted	10	10
Cost reasonableness		
% Project Reasonable cost	20	20
POINTS		
Possible points	120	120
Total score	120	91.50
weighted scores out of 100	100	76.25
Threshold 50+% total score		76.25%
Ranking by rating score decision		
THRESHOLD CRITERIA		
DATA Completeness/Quality/Accuracy		
% UDE Data Completeness 5/01/2023 -04/30/2024	95%	100.00%

% Data Quality PII(APR)	NA	100.00%
% Data Quality Income/Housing elements (APR)	NA	100.00%
% Data Quality UDE (APR)	NA	100.00%
Unit Utilization		
bed/unit utilization rate (APR)	90%	93.06%
Units per HIC/GIW		18
Quarterly PIT count (HH)		17/17/16/17
Total households served		18
Other and Local Criteria		
Please provide a brief description of the ways in which your organization's Board, executives, and staff incorporate feedback from people with lived experiences. (100 words or less but clear and	2	2
Please provide a date when the recipient last reviewed and updated, if necessary, internal policies and procedures with an equity lens on (Provide date)	2	2
Please submit a copy of the recipient's DEI statement and the date when last reviewed	2	2
Total percentage of the recipients organizational leadership team (senior staff) has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, Women, LGBTQIA+, 7 or less years of lived experience)	2	2
Total percentage of the recipients board of directors membership has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, Women, LGBTQIA+, 7 or less years of lived experience)	2	1
Priorities		
Chronic		1st
Senior(55+)		2nd
Families with children		3rd
DV		4th
Youth		5th
Vets		6th
Gender identity/expression		7th

Conditional rating, rating, & status notification

1 message

Racine CoC <racinecocorg@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 11, 2024 at 10:35 PM

To: Justin Kasdorf <Justin.Kasdorf@cvivet.org>, James Beer <jim@jamesbeerllc.com>, Scott Metzel <smetzel@hopescenter.org>, Adam Smith <adam.smith@icalliances.org>, Iraida Vazquez <iraida.vazquez@icalliances.org>, Gai Lorenzen <glorenzen@haloinc.org>, Beth Ballo <bballo@haloinc.org>, Jeanette Brown <jbrown@safehavenofracine.org>, Pam Handrow <phandrow@bethanyapartments.org>, Jori Chambers <jchambers@racinewrc.org>

October 11, 2024

Selection and Prioritization Decision for Tiers 1 & 2 Notification

The following represents the initial recommendations from Rating & Ranking pending approved by the Leadership Council. Please know that the decision this year was difficult, and Rating & Ranking was working within HUD guidelines of funding 90% of the projects through Tier 1 and using 10% of the ARD for Tier 2 as well as Bonus funds. Each project has its own merits, and the recommendations mirror the priorities as approved by the Leadership Council on 08/15/2023. I have included both charts for the Ranking/dollar amount recommendations, and the Racine CoC Funding Priorities after the "Next Steps" section below. All the information in this email is included in the attachments as well as a chart indicating allocations and reallocation amounts.

NEXT STEPS-

If you are not submitting an appeal, be sure to review your application for any changes you have been requested by the CoC Director to make. If you have no further changes, you may click submit on your application in e-snaps. Tread carefully when submitting into esnaps as sometimes amending them back for further changes can lead to getting caught in the dreaded internet loop which makes it difficult to get back.

Appeals:

A project may present an appeal of its final ranking for the following reason: 1.) clerical error such as a typo or math error in the scoring tool or final ranking, or 2.) the project prioritization policy was not followed.

Oct14 |Appeal requests are due by noon on October 14, 2024, to racinecocorg@gmail.com. (Use the subject line "Agency Name" "Grant appeal."

Deadlines:

Applicants can file an Appeal which is heard by the Leadership Council minus any members where there exists a conflict of interest, such as LC members serving on BOD or staff positions at an applicant's organization. The appeal deadline, as listed in CoC NOFO timeline is Noon, CST, October 14, 2024. Once the CoC issues a final determination, any further appeals would be pursuant to the process set forth in the NOFO.

In keeping with full transparency, I have attached copies of applications and a copy of the Rating & Ranking tool, so you are able to see how applications were assessed based on performance. Iraida can respond to questions regarding calculations on the sheet. I will respond to anything related to the Rating & Ranking process.

Page two contains the rationale from the Rating, Ranking & Evaluation Workgroup used to determine the rating and ranking of all renewal, expansion, and new project applications in Tiers 1 and 2. projects. Page three contains a copy of the Rating & Ranking Prioritization. Page four contains the listings including reallocation amounts.

As always, please feel free to email me if you have any questions.

On behalf of the Rating, Ranking, & Evaluation workgroup,

Thank you for your efforts!

Teresa Reinders,

Racine CoC Director

2 attachments



FY24 Action by Rating Ranking and Evaluation notification letter w reallocation amnts.pdf
312K




Rating and Review Procedure FY24.25.pdf
186K

Posted Notification of Final Panking and Prioritization on CoC Website

racinecoc.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/FY24%20Funding%20Rating%20and%20Ranking%20Prioritization_0.pdf

1 / 1 | 80%



Racine City & County Continuum of Care (WI-502)
c/o HALO
2009 DeKoven Avenue, Unit #1
Racine, WI 53403

October 15, 2024

On behalf of the Racine CoC and Collaborative Applicant, this document serves as a formal notification regarding final project selection and prioritization. A previous notification of the initial selection and prioritization was sent on Friday, October 11, 2024, with an appeal deadline of October 14, 2024, by 12:00:00 PM CST. These dates were previously made public in the competition timeline and posted on the Racine CoC website. We are required by HUD to notify all applicants of the following in a manner other than through eSNAPS.

1. There were no involuntary reductions in funding for any project.
2. There were no increases in funding for any project.

The local appeal deadline was October 14, 2024, and has passed. The only change to the prioritization that is now allowed to be made prior to prior submission would be to correct the amount of funding in the funding column due to a clerical (typo) error. The appeal procedure to HUD is found in the CoC NOFO on page 120.

The final prioritization that will be submitted to HUD in the Racine CoC application can be found below the signature line of this document. The Planning Grant is not prioritized and there is separate funding for it. Thus, it is not included on the prioritization chart.

Thank you everyone for all your hard work and effort to make our CoC/Alliance strong. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Teresa M. Reinders
Director
Racine City & County Continuum of Care (WI-502)
treinders@haloinc.org

CoC Project Priority & Sub/pop per application		Project Name	Score	Rank	Request
	Tier 1				
Veterans; 1 & 4		PSH Racine (CVI)	91.5	1	\$233,497.00
Fam & Children		HOPES RRH -Families with Children	87	2	\$71,657.00
N/A		Racine CoC ICA Coordinated Entry	N/A	3	\$82,525.00
N/A		Racine CoC HMIS	N/A	4	\$30,000.00
All groups		APOMO PSH FY2024	89	5	\$557,917.00
All groups;	Tier 2	APOMO PSH FY2024 Expansion- Leveraging Health Services	N/A	6	\$107,319.00
Youth; & 7	CoC Bonus	SAFE Passage Youth Housing Project	N/A	7	\$181,896.00
DV families	DV Bonus	WRC Bonus Housing Project	N/A	8	\$121,527.00

10:28 PM Tuesday 10/15/2024

Conditional rating, rating, & status notification

1 message

Racine CoC <racinecocorg@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 11, 2024 at 10:35 PM

To: Justin Kasdorf <Justin.Kasdorf@cvivet.org>, James Beer <jim@jamesbeerllc.com>, Scott Metzel <smetzel@hopescenter.org>, Adam Smith <adam.smith@icalliances.org>, Iraida Vazquez <iraida.vazquez@icalliances.org>, Gai Lorenzen <glorenzen@haloinc.org>, Beth Ballo <bballo@haloinc.org>, Jeanette Brown <jbrown@safehavenofracine.org>, Pam Handrow <phandrow@bethanyapartments.org>, Jori Chambers <jchambers@racinewrc.org>

October 11, 2024

Selection and Prioritization Decision for Tiers 1 & 2 Notification

The following represents the initial recommendations from Rating & Ranking pending approved by the Leadership Council. Please know that the decision this year was difficult, and Rating & Ranking was working within HUD guidelines of funding 90% of the projects through Tier 1 and using 10% of the ARD for Tier 2 as well as Bonus funds. Each project has its own merits, and the recommendations mirror the priorities as approved by the Leadership Council on 08/15/2023. I have included both charts for the Ranking/dollar amount recommendations, and the Racine CoC Funding Priorities after the "Next Steps" section below. All the information in this email is included in the attachments as well as a chart indicating allocations and reallocation amounts.

NEXT STEPS-

If you are not submitting an appeal, be sure to review your application for any changes you have been requested by the CoC Director to make. If you have no further changes, you may click submit on your application in e-snaps. Tread carefully when submitting into esnaps as sometimes amending them back for further changes can lead to getting caught in the dreaded internet loop which makes it difficult to get back.

Appeals:

A project may present an appeal of its final ranking for the following reason: 1.) clerical error such as a typo or math error in the scoring tool or final ranking, or 2.) the project prioritization policy was not followed.

Oct14 |Appeal requests are due by noon on October 14, 2024, to racinecocorg@gmail.com. (Use the subject line "Agency Name" "Grant appeal."

Deadlines:

Applicants can file an Appeal which is heard by the Leadership Council minus any members where there exists a conflict of interest, such as LC members serving on BOD or staff positions at an applicant's organization. The appeal deadline, as listed in CoC NOFO timeline is Noon, CST, October 14, 2024. Once the CoC issues a final determination, any further appeals would be pursuant to the process set forth in the NOFO.

In keeping with full transparency, I have attached copies of applications and a copy of the Rating & Ranking tool, so you are able to see how applications were assessed based on performance. Iraida can respond to questions regarding calculations on the sheet. I will respond to anything related to the Rating & Ranking process.

Page two contains the rationale from the Rating, Ranking & Evaluation Workgroup used to determine the rating and ranking of all renewal, expansion, and new project applications in Tiers 1 and 2. projects. Page three contains a copy of the Rating & Ranking Prioritization. Page four contains the listings including reallocation amounts.

As always, please feel free to email me if you have any questions.

On behalf of the Rating, Ranking, & Evaluation workgroup,

Thank you for your efforts!

Teresa Reinders,

Racine CoC Director

2 attachments



FY24 Action by Rating Ranking and Evaluation notification letter w reallocation amnts.pdf
312K




Rating and Review Procedure FY24.25.pdf
186K

Posted Notification of Final Panking and Prioritization on CoC Website

racinecoc.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/FY24%20Funding%20Rating%20and%20Ranking%20Prioritization_0.pdf

1 / 1 | 80%



Racine City & County Continuum of Care (WI-502)
c/o HALO
2009 DeKoven Avenue, Unit #1
Racine, WI 53403

October 15, 2024

On behalf of the Racine CoC and Collaborative Applicant, this document serves as a formal notification regarding final project selection and prioritization. A previous notification of the initial selection and prioritization was sent on Friday, October 11, 2024, with an appeal deadline of October 14, 2024, by 12:00:00 PM CST. These dates were previously made public in the competition timeline and posted on the Racine CoC website. We are required by HUD to notify all applicants of the following in a manner other than through eSNAPS.

1. There were no involuntary reductions in funding for any project.
2. There were no increases in funding for any project.

The local appeal deadline was October 14, 2024, and has passed. The only change to the prioritization that is now allowed to be made prior to prior submission would be to correct the amount of funding in the funding column due to a clerical (typo) error. The appeal procedure to HUD is found in the CoC NOFO on page 120.

The final prioritization that will be submitted to HUD in the Racine CoC application can be found below the signature line of this document. The Planning Grant is not prioritized and there is separate funding for it. Thus, it is not included on the prioritization chart.

Thank you everyone for all your hard work and effort to make our CoC/Alliance strong. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Teresa M. Reinders
Director
Racine City & County Continuum of Care (WI-502)
treinders@haloinc.org

CoC Project Priority & Sub/pop per application		Project Name	Score	Rank	Request
	Tier 1				
Veterans; 1 & 4		PSH Racine (CVI)	91.5	1	\$233,497.00
Fam & Children		HOPES RRH -Families with Children	87	2	\$71,657.00
N/A		Racine CoC ICA Coordinated Entry	N/A	3	\$82,525.00
N/A		Racine CoC HMIS	N/A	4	\$30,000.00
All groups		APOMO PSH FY2024	89	5	\$557,917.00
All groups;	Tier 2	APOMO PSH FY2024 Expansion- Leveraging Health Services	N/A	6	\$107,319.00
Youth; & 7	CoC Bonus	SAFE Passage Youth Housing Project	N/A	7	\$181,896.00
DV families	DV Bonus	WRC Bonus Housing Project	N/A	8	\$121,527.00

10:28 PM Tuesday 10/15/2024

Racine CoC Local Competition Selection Results

Project Name	Rating Score	Status	Rank	Amount Requested	Amount (+/-) Reallocated
CoC Planning Project FY2024		Accepted	Not Ranked	\$124,881	\$0
PSH Racine (CVI)	91.5	Accepted	1	\$233,497	\$0
HOPES RRH -Families with Children	87	Accepted	2	\$71,657	-\$20,000
Racine CoC ICA Coordinated Entry	Not ranked	Accepted	3	\$82,525	\$0
Racine CoC HMIS	Not ranked	Accepted	4	\$30,000	\$0
APOMO PSH FY2024	89	Accepted	5	\$557,917	-\$1,081
APOMO PSH FY2024 Expansion	95	Accepted	6	\$107,319	\$107,319
SAFE Passage Youth Housing Project (RRH)	95	Accepted	7	\$181,896.00	\$1,081
WRC Bonus Housing Project (RRH)	95	Accepted	8	\$121,567.00	\$0
LSS (Not renewed)	0	Not submitted	Not ranked	\$0.00	-\$87,319



Notification of posting Local CoC Consolidated application

From Teresa Reinders <treinders@haloinc.org>

Date Mon 10/28/2024 7:40 PM

To Barb Farrar <bfarrar@lgbtsewi.org>; Carl Fields <carlj.fields@gmail.com>; Carl Fields (carl@hospitality-center.org) <carl@hospitality-center.org>; Carol Pagan <carolmp03@yahoo.com>; Gai Lorenzen <glorenzen@haloinc.org>; Iraida Vazquez <iraida.vazquez@icalliances.org>; justin.kasdorf@cvivet.org <justin.kasdorf@cvivet.org>; Kathy Snyder <Kathy@revitalizeracine.org>; kimberly.leslie@aah.org <kimberly.leslie@aah.org>; Laurie L Bauer <Laurie.Bauer@wisconsin.gov>; Liz Powell <liz.powell@racinecommunityfoundation.org>; Pam Handrow <PHandrow@bethanyapartments.org>; Robert B. Held <RBH@legalaction.org>; Scott Metzler <smetzler@hopescenter.org>; Shebria Small <ssmall@safehavenofracine.org>; Melissa Taggart <mtaggart@haloinc.org>; Holly Anderle <handerle2409@yahoo.com>; Joan Roehre <jroehre@haloinc.org>; Matthew Buterbaugh <matthew@hospitality-center.org>; Matthew J. Perz <MPerz@legalaction.org>; Mary Beth Kallio <mkallio@unitedwayracine.org>; Linda Spagnola-Bragg <lindasb@bellsouth.net>; Jeanette Brown <jbrown@safehavenofracine.org>; Jori Chambers <jchambers@racinewrc.org>; Ben Cornell <bcornell@safehavenofracine.org>; James Beer (jim@jamesbeerllc.com) <jim@jamesbeerllc.com>; Jasmine Fields <jasmine.fields@myrcoc.org>; Nicole Hunt <nhunt@rkcaa.org>; Yesenia Merino <merinoy59@gmail.com>; James Schatzman <jschatzman@rvmracine.org>; Beth Ballo <bballo@haloinc.org>; Denise Staufenbeil <dstaufenbeil@racinewrc.org>; Andre Batts <abatts@hopescenter.org>; Irma Love <ILove@haloinc.org>; Becca Pritzl <becca.pritzl@icalliances.org>; Melinda Santoyo <Melinda.santoyo@cvivet.org>

Cc Racine CoC <racinecocorg@gmail.com>

Dear CoC Leadership, Agency applicants, and Community stakeholders,

This email serves as notice that the Racine CoC Consolidated Application for HUD 2024.25 is now posted on the Racine CoC website.

It can be viewed here: https://racinecoc.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/CoC%20Collaborative%20Application%20FY24.25_0.pdf

The final copy will be submitted to HUD by October 30, 2024.

Teresa

Teresa M. Reinders

Director

Continuum of Care for the City & County of Racine (WI-502)

c/o HALO

2000 DeKoven Avenue, Unit 1

Racine, WI 53403

www.racinecoc.org

“Wealth and position are a blessing when shared and used for the betterment of humanity. We often confuse privileges with blessings.” Rev. Dr. Mitzi J. Smith