

1D-1 Local Competition Scoring Tool

FY2026 Policies	Page 02-04
Objective Rating	Page 05-07
Subjective Rating Portion	
Questions	Page 08
Rating Max Points by Project Type	Page 09
Sample Project Scoring by Question	Page 10



FY2026 POLICIES

THRESHOLD POLICY and RE-ALLOCATION

1. A project may voluntarily re-allocate regardless of scoring.
2. Projects voluntarily re-allocating receive priority to utilize the funds for a new project.
3. A project must meet the thresholds established by the CoC. The threshold categories are found on the scoring tool.
4. If a renewal project does not meet the thresholds, it will be asked to re-allocate funds and undergo an involuntary re-allocation.
5. A renewal project scoring below the threshold, may be permitted to apply with conditions if it is a priority project type and there are no other projects to take its place.
6. A new project will be rejected if it does not meet eligibility or minimum thresholds. If it is the only new project proposed and the funds would otherwise not be utilized, the CoC will permit the project to remedy any deficiencies and be included in the scoring and prioritization process.

CoC PRIORITIES

The CoC has determined that in addition to the selected threshold criteria, the following are priorities: 1.) Chronically Homeless, 2) Aging 55+, 3) Families with Children, 4) Domestic Violence, 5) Youth, and 6.) Veterans. These priorities were selected based on HMIS and project data, and the current Coordinated Entry list.

SCORING

1. Renewal projects will be rated or ranked based on exits to other PH, retention of PH, returns to homelessness within 12 months of exit, new/increase in employment income, new/increase in non-employment income, persons served are chronically homeless or meet one of the other priorities, HMIS data

quality, HMIS data completeness, more than one disability, bed/unit utilization, participation in coordinated entry, and project cost reasonableness.

2. New projects will be rated primarily on how the project addresses priorities, the reasonableness of the proposed project, participation in coordinated entry, and leveraging housing and health care resources, including substance abuse treatment.

FINAL PRIORITIZATION

1. Renewal PSH and TH projects are prioritized at the top of Tier 1. Scores will be used to determine the priority of those projects.

2. HMIS and CE projects are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1, but neither will be prioritized in a straddle position. CE and HMIS are crucial projects for the effective operation of the CoC, and the use of HMIS and CE is mandatory.

3. A higher scoring PSH or TH project will be moved to a lower priority as a straddle project, if it would better serve the entire CoC to preserve other funding in Tier 1. A straddle project is a project with funding in both Tier 1 and Tier 2. It is ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 and the amount exceeding Tier 1 falls into Tier 2.

4. Renewal PSH and TH projects not scoring high enough to be prioritized in Tier 1, will be prioritized along with new PSH and TH projects in Tier 2. These projects will be prioritized by score.

5. The following will be used to determine priority when scores are tied. a.) New project composed entirely of re-allocated funds, b.) Renewal project, c.) New project composed of re-allocated and bonus funds of any type, d.) New project composed entirely of bonus funds of any type.

6. If a tie remains after applying the criteria in #5, the CoC priorities shall be used to break the tie. A point will be added to the score of the project for each priority the project addresses.

RIGHT to APPEAL

1. Appeals may be requested after the following actions: a.) the project was not selected to be included in the collaborative application, and 2. the final priority ranking of the project.
2. Appeals may be requested for the following reasons: .a) clerical error such as a typo or math error in the scoring tool, or b.) the project prioritization policy was not followed.
3. The appeal deadline will be provided when notification of project selection or final prioritization is given.
4. Once the CoC issues a final determination, any further appeals would be pursuant to the process set forth in the NOFO.

	GOAL PSH	CVI College Avenue PSH	HALO APOMO PSH	ICA CE	ICA HMIS
Renewal amount during reporting period		\$273,448	\$655,651	\$91,241	\$33,175
Project type		PSH	PSH	SSO	HMIS
Performance					
Exit/retain Perm Housing(PSH)/ move to PH (RRH)	95%	90.48%	68.42%		
Returns to Homelessness, 1 year lookback (Program Recidivism Report)	15%	28.57%	9.68%		
New/Increase in Employment (stayers)	8%	12.50%	0.00%		
New/Increase in Non-Employment (stayers)	15%	25.00%	50.00%		
New/Increase in Employment (leavers)	8%	0.00%	0.00%		
New/Increase in Non-Employment leavers)	15%	33.33%	27.27%		
Increased or maintained income (APR)	TIE				
Serve High Need Population					
% w/zero income at Entry	30%	33.33%	44.12%		
% w/more than one disability	50%	76.19%	45.00%		
% entering from streets	15%	14.29%	14.71%		
DATA Completeness/Quality/Accuracy					
% UDE Data Completeness 5/01/2025 -04/30/2026	95%	97.73%	97.10%		
% Data Quality PII(APR)	NA	100.00%	100.00%		
% Data Quality Income/Housing elements (APR)	NA	93.18%	91.31%		
% Data Quality UDE (APR)	NA	100.00%	100.00%		
Coordinated Entry Compliance					
% New entries with CE referrals accepted	100%	100.00%	100.00%		
Cost Reasonableness					
Cost per exit		\$14,392.00	\$16,811.56		PSH Average cost/exit
Stayers + Leavers to PH destination		19	39		58
% Project Reasonable cost	75% - 150%	89.84%	104.95%		
Unit Utilization				\$929,099	\$16,019
Bed/unit utilization rate (APR)	90%	84.72%	71.62%		
Units per HIC/GIW		18	37		
Quarterly PIT count (HH)		15/18/13/15	28/24/28/26		
Total households served		21	33		

	Possible Points	CVI PSH	HALO APOMO PSH	ICA CE	ICA HMIS	Total ARD	CoC Planning
FY26 Renewal amount	110	\$273,448	\$267,200	\$91,241	\$33,175	\$1,138,685	\$131,946
Project type		PSH	PSH	SSO	HMIS	\$683,211	Tier 1 = 60% ARD
Performance						\$455,474	Tier 2 = 40%
Exit/retain Perm Housing(PSH)/ move to PH (RRH)	25	0	0			\$527,783	DV Bonus
Returns to Homelessness, 1 year lookback (Program Recidivism Report)	15	0	15				
New/Increase in Employment (stayers)	2.5	2.5	0				
New/Increase in Non-Employment (stayers)	2.5	2.5	2.5				
New/Increase in Employment (leavers)	2.5	0	0				
New/Increase in Non-Employment leavers)	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Increased or maintained income (APR worksheet)	TIE Breaker					\$500,000	CoC Bonus
Serve High Need Population							
% w/zero income at Entry	10	10	10				
% w/more than one disability	10	10	0				
% entering from streets	10	0	0				
Coordinated Entry Compliance							
% New entries with CE referrals accepted	10	10	10				
Cost reasonableness							
% Project Reasonable cost	20	20	20				
POINTS							
Possible points	110	110	110				
Total score	110	57.50	60.00				
weighted scores out of 100	100	52.27	54.55				
Threshold 50+% total score		52.27%	54.55%				
Ranking by rating score decision		2	1				
THRESHOLD CRITERIA							
DATA Completeness/Quality/Accuracy							
% UDE Data Completeness 5/01/2025 -04/30/2026	95%	97.73%	97.10%				
% Data Quality PII(APR)	NA	100.00%	100.00%				
% Data Quality Income/Housing elements (APR)	NA	93.18%	91.31%				
% Data Quality UDE (APR)	NA	100.00%	100.00%				
Unit Utilization							
bed/unit utilization rate (APR)	90%	84.72%	71.62%				
Units per HIC/GIW		18	37				
Quarterly PIT count (HH)		15/18/13/15	28/24/28/26				
Total households served		21	33				
Other and Local Criteria							
Priorities							
Chronic		1st					
Senior(55+)		2nd					

Families with children		3rd
DV		4th
Youth		5th
Vets		6th

Section A. All applicants respond unless stated in question.

A1: Briefly describe how the project serves the needs of the target population(s). Max 250 words. (HMIS only projects – Please describe how HMIS supports the work of service providers.)

A2. What supportive services and assistance will your agency provide to program participants to ensure the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. Max 250 words.

(HMIS only projects can answer N/A)

A.3. Describe your experience with the type of project proposed or operated, including working with the target population(s). Maximum 500 words.

A4. Describe how the project will provide and/or partner with at least two other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.) Max 500 words

Section B. Only Answer Questions Related to the Project Type to Which You Are Applying

B1. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Only

2.a. Describe ways the Coordinated Entry system is made easily available and reachable for all people seeking homelessness assistance within Racine CoC's geographic area. Include how CE is accessible for people with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.

b. Describe how the project will ensure program participants are directed to the appropriate housing and services that fit their needs. Max 750 words total for both questions combined.

3. Discuss the proposed project's strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Maximum 750 Words.

4.a. Describe how the proposed project will ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months.

4.b. Describe how the proposed project will ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to housing with employment income.

4.c. Describe how the proposed project will provide individualized services for program participants during their time in the program, that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as or a with a developmental disability (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community-building activities, etc.). Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. Use definitions found in 24 CFR 578.3.

Max 750 words for all 3 questions combined.

5. Describe the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants to ensure the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs. Include your "Move-On" strategy in your response. Max 750 words. PSH project only

Section C Transition Projects

Project Components Transitioning to Another Project Component must complete this question. Information for Board of Directors for consideration as a transition project. This score will be added to the component score to assist in ranking transition projects in same project component(s).

Scoring Rubric for Questions

Section A Rating criteria

3 pts: Response is answered fully

1-2 pts: Response is partially answered

0 pts: Does not address question

N/A if other than Transition Project answered the question 3

Section B Rating Criteria - Project Type Specific

6-10 Points – Project description completely addresses all required items.

1-5 Points – Project description addresses some of all required items.

0 Points – Project description does not address the required item

Section C Rating Criteria - Transition Projects

Question not scored but used for TH tie-breaker if necessary. Rating criteria

3 pts: Response is answered fully

1-2 pts: Response is partially answered

0 pts: Does not address question

N/A if other than Transition Project answered the question

		Maximum Points Per question by Project Type										Total Score Possible	QC1 Transition Tie Breaker
		QA1	QA2	QA3	QA4	QB1	QB2	QB3	QB4	QB5			
Score for:		All	All (CE/HMIS N/A)	All	All (CE/HMIS N/A)	Total Section A	HMIS	SSO/CE	SSO or STO	TH/RRH	PSH	Total Section B	3
Project Type	HMIS	3	0	3	0	6	10					10	
Project Type	CE	3	0	3	0	6		10				10	
Project Type	SSO/SO	3	3	3	3	12			10			10	
Project Type	TH/RRH	3	3	3	3	12				10		10	
Project Type	PSH	3	3	3	3	12					10	10	32

Sample Project Scoring by Question

		QA1	QA2	QA3	QA4	Total Part A	QB1	QB2	QB3	QB4	QB5	Total Part B	Total Average Project Score	Project Type	Max Pts Possible
HALO PSH Renewal Project	Reviewer Score	2	3	3	3	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9				
HALO PSH Renewal Project	Reviewer Score	3	3	3	3	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9				
HALO PSH Renewal Project	Reviewer Score	2	3	3	3	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8				
HALO PSH Renewal Project	Averages & totals	2.33	3.00	3.00	3.00	11.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.67	8.67	20.00	PSH	32